

Motions, directions and inquiries recommended for closure					
Motion, direction, inquiry	Meeting date	Mover	Minutes	Department	Recommendation
That People Services and Corporate Services (RPAM) prepare a joint report to CSEDC with recommendations including amendments to existing policies if required, to ensure that City funding to our community partners goes to service residents and not back to the City in the form of rent.	FEDCO: December 17, 2002	A. Munter	http://www.ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/csedc/2002/12-17/minutes41.htm	CO - PRC / CMO - REPDO	Recommended for closure. The framework and principles for this review were approved as part of the Corporate Grants and Contributions policy approved by Council at its meeting of August 25, 2010. This item is on the Implementation Plan that was approved as part of the above-noted report. The review will be led by the Parks, Recreation and Culture Department with a report back in 2012. Given the above approvals, it is not necessary to track this item separately as part of the bi-monthly motion tracking form.
Motion no. 27/103: That staff investigate the possibility of contracting-out the Call Centre using a private sector comparator, and report back to Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee no later than September 2005.	Council: Jan 24, 25, 27 and Feb 1-4, 7 2005	J. Harder	http://www.ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/occ/2005/01-24/minutes27.htm	CO - ODP	Recommended for closure. As part of the 2010 budget process, Council approved a one-time capital investment of \$79M over 5 years in nine opportunities that will fundamentally transform how residents receive services from the City, making services easy to find and access, simple, streamlined and cost effective. These 9 opportunities make up the Service Ottawa Program. One of those projects will enable the citizen-centric service (e-service/311), which is expected to result in \$12.84M annualized savings by 2014. An analysis of outsourcing 311 at this time is not appropriate to undertake during the next three years while the Service Ottawa Program is being implemented.
Motion no. 3/29: That staff be directed to report back to Council on a recognition policy for past Mayors.	Council: December 14, 2006	M. McRae	http://www.ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/occ/2006/12-14/minutes3.htm	CMO - Clerks	Recommended for closure. Recognition for past Mayors continue to be dealt with on a case-by-case basis. One option is through the existing Commemorative Naming Policy, under which any resident or Member of Council may submit an application to commemorate a family or individual, including former Mayors. Upon the passing of a former Mayor, staff recommends that a small committee of two to three Members of Council and the current Mayor be tasked with working with staff to determine an appropriate commemoration (this practice was used to commemorate former Mayor Marion Dewar in 2008).
That staff bring forward a strategy to deal with the pressures identified by the report "Social and Health Services Needs of Rapidly Growing Communities."	CPSC: February 1, 2007	A. Cullen	http://www.ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/cpsc/2007/02-01/minutes3.htm	CO - CSS	Recommended for closure. The City contributed \$2M between 2007 and 2010 to help community agencies address the sustainability of existing programs. In addition, the City provides an annual cost of living increase to agencies providing services on behalf of the City.

<p>That staff in consultation with the City's Environmental Working group develop a strategy to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stop the City of Ottawa from purchasing bottled water for City meetings and official functions; 2. Begin discussions with vendors in City-owned facilities (in anticipation of agreement renewals) to provide tap water, and remove bottled water for sale; 3. Reinstate water fountains and install new fountains in City of Ottawa facility; and 4. Encourage citizens to drink tap water and encourage the use of public drinking fountains. 	<p>EC: December 18, 2007</p>	<p>D. Holmes</p>	<p>http://www.ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/pec/2007/12-18/minutes23.htm</p>	<p>ISCS - ESD</p>	<p>Recommended for closure. Staff and the Councillor have agreed to close the motion and reframe these issues through the term of Council priorities and the upcoming Environmental Strategy report in Q1 2012.</p>
<p>That staff investigate and bring back a report with recommendations to address standards for signage lighting, including maximum brightness, cut off requirements, direction of lighting (downward or at building) and times of lighting operation.</p>	<p>City Council: March 26 & 27, 2008</p>	<p>P. Feltmate</p>	<p>http://ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/occ/2008/03-26/minutes33.htm</p>	<p>ISCS - PGM</p>	<p>Recommended for closure: Voluntary compliance is markedly less expensive to obtain than compliance achieved through enforcement action. Sign by-laws throughout North America restrict the lighting of signage through clear prohibitions and specifications, such as i) a sign is not allowed to be lit in areas zoned residential, or ii) a sign may be lit from above if it is located in a mixed residential and commercial area. The standards are clear and are not subject to much interpretation. Regulating signs by developing and establishing performance standards that must be monitored or measured, such as a standard for maximum brightness, would require additional resources with specialized equipment to measure and determine compliance during off-hours. There is no expertise in this area within the City, nor in other like-sized municipalities in Ontario. The development of performance standards would require the retaining of specialized consultants. A preliminary scoping of such confirmed the costs of the study and development of standards would approach \$30k. No funding was assigned for this purpose. Other municipalities have not pursued this approach for the same reasons Ottawa has not. Complaints regarding light spillage have been minimal, with compliance generally being achieved by the sign owner on a voluntary basis.</p>

That a group be formed, comprised of City staff, Provincial and City elected officials and hospital officials, to examine and propose other options to assist the hospitals towards their on-going capital needs and to report the results back to the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee by the end of the third quarter.	FEDCO: March 3, 2009	R. Chiarelli	http://ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/csedc/2009/03-03/minutes39.htm	ISCS - PGM	Recommended for closure: On October 28, 2009, Council approved the Program for Responding to Requests for Reimbursements of Development Related Fees. The Chair of Planning Committee and staff met with hospital officials to explore opportunities. Further discussions are required prior to reporting back by Q4 2011. It was agreed at that time that there was no immediate financial solution that could be identified. Staff support for the working group will be provided as required through existing resources.
That City staff be directed to immediately develop a Class A estimate for the rehabilitation of the Prince of Wales Bridge and associated track for light rail use.	TRC: March 4, 2009	C. Leadman	http://www.ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/tc/2009/03-04/minutes30.htm	ISCS - PGM / ISD	Recommended for closure: In a 2009 memo, staff provided a preliminary estimate of the repairs needed for the bridge to be put in use and stated that developing a Class A estimate would cost roughly \$1.5 million. At the time, Transit Committee carried a motion to revisit the issue after the Inter-Provincial Transit Integration Study is complete. The direction is not in keeping with any Council approved 2010-2014 term of Council priority.
That City staff be directed, in the third annual report on the Parking Management Strategy, to review the parking governance model with a comparison with the parking authority.	TRC: April 15, 2009	C. Leadman	http://www.ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/trc/2009/04-15/minutes30.htm	CO - PWS	Recommended for closure: The 2009 Municipal Parking Management Strategy (MPMS) stipulates that a review of the current POMD governance model (by way of comparison with a Parking Authority model) is to be presented in the branch's third Annual Report (being the Annual Report published in 2013 for the 2012 year). Recognizing that the branch continues to show progress in adapting to the new operating model and direction established recently by the MPMS and significant technology enhancements and that, in addition to annual reports, the Parking Operations Maintenance & Development Branch presents to Transportation Committee (TRC) and Council annually an in-depth Work Plan and detailed Financial Summary and Capital Program Plan that also provide opportunities for TRC and Council to comment on the current governance model, a governance model review should be postponed until such time when the service has gained experience with the current governance model.

Motion no. 76/7: That following the receipt of responses from municipalities in Ontario, staff petition the Ministry of Transportation of Ontario for amendments to Highway Traffic Act as shown in (1) and report back to Council on progress made on this issue by the end of Q2 2010.	Council: October 28, 2009	J. Legendre	http://www.ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/occ/2009/10-28/englishminutes76.htm	CO - PWS	<p>Recommended for closure. As of March 2010, 30 municipalities provided a response back to the City of Ottawa regarding their position on the request to amend the Highway Traffic Act to allow Municipalities to set default speed limits on local residential streets. Of the 30 municipalities, 12 indicated support for the amendment. MTO advised City staff at a July 2010 meeting that broader support was required in order for MTO to proceed with the consideration of this request.</p> <p>Staff continue to raise this issue with the Ministry of Transportation (MTO) and other municipalities through the Ontario Traffic Council (OTC). Should progress be made whereby MTO and OTC would consider reviewing the ability of allowing municipalities to set default speed limits on local residential streets, staff will report back to Transportation Committee and Council.</p>
Direction to staff: That the City undertake a risk management analysis of the City's non-profit community partners, with a specific focus on the major factors that contribute to long-term sustainability and that both the community partners and stakeholders be engaged in this process.	CPSC: October 29, 2009	P. Feltmate	http://www.ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/cpsc/2009/10-29/minutes49.htm	CO - CSS	<p>Recommended for closure. The City allocates significant funding to community agencies on an annual basis. In 2011, the City allocated \$22M to 179 non-profit community based organizations that provide social services, health promotion and recreation services to residents. In addition, the City is an active member of the Grantmaker's Forum, which is comprised of various funding organizations, and is collaborating to support community agencies' capacity.</p>
Direction to Staff: That staff from Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services, and Real Estate Partnership and Development Office inform the Committee on the background and status of the first inquiry listed in Document 1 regarding Community Partners <u>Rentina City Facilities</u> .	FEDCO: November 3, 2009		http://ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/csedc/2009/11-03/minutes50.htm	CO / CMO PRC & REPDO	<p>Recommended for closure: The PRC and the REPDO are bringing forward a report for consideration by CPSC and Council in 2012 to address the outstanding Committee and Council direction.</p>
Motion no. 85/8: OMB Appeals re: OPA 76 - That the City Clerk and Solicitor and the DCM of ISCS be directed to defend the City Council decision of June 10, 2009.	City Council: February 24, 2010	P. Hume	http://ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/occ/2010/02-24/englishminutes85.htm	CMO - City Clerk & Solicitor / DCM ISCS	<p>Recommended for closure: The urban boundary hearing began on February 22, 2011 and ran for 7 weeks. The OMB issued a draft decision on June 3rd approving an urban expansion of 850 ha. Staff sought Council direction on how to proceed with Phase 2 of the hearing, dealing with the location of expansion lands, on July 4th 2011.</p>

<p>Motion no. 84/7: That once appointed, the Chief Procurement Officer's first order of business be to convene a special "Review Panel" that includes the City Clerk and Solicitor and an independent Fairness Commissioner to review and report back to Committee and Council on recent procurement initiatives at OC Transpo, including the bus garage, with recommendations on how to ensure that future procurements are transparent and fair for all parties; and that the Auditor General be directed to examine the work of the "Review Panel" and provide his assessment of their recommendations, and that the Auditor General's report be tabled as a companion document to the Review Panel's report.</p>	<p>Council: February 24, 2010</p>	<p>E. El-Chantiry</p>	<p>http://ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/occ/2010/02-24/englishminutes85.htm</p>	<p>CMO - FIN</p>	<p>Recommended for Closure. The recent audit of the procurement process for the SmartBus Next Stop Announcement System and the Smartcard System have dealt with issues raised by this motion and staff have ensured that the best purchasing practises are in place.</p>
<p>Inquiry: CC-01-10: Peer Review and Audits</p>	<p>Council: February 24, 2010</p>	<p>P. Feltmate</p>	<p>http://www.ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/occ/2010/02-24/englishminutes85.htm</p>	<p>CMO</p>	<p>Recommended for closure: The detailed analysis requested by Councillor Feltmate was completed and the results were discussed with the Councillor in September 2010. This inquiry has been completed.</p>

<p>Motion no 91/8: That Council direct staff to develop a policy that clearly defines the timeline within which Councillors can expect to receive staff reports and communications that affect Council motions or directions prior to their release to the public and/or media, and that the policy specifies the manner in which such information is released to Members of Council; That Council direct staff to include a defined set of consequences for non-compliance to this policy.</p>	<p>Council: June 9, 2010</p>	<p>C. Doucet</p>	<p>http://www.ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/occ/2010/06-09/englishminutes91.htm</p>	<p>CMO</p>	<p>Recommended for closure: Current practice is that Councillors receive reports 7 days in advance of Standing Committee and Council meetings. Every effort is made to ensure that these timelines are adhered to. As part of the report development process normal practice is to provide the draft report the Ward Councillor(s) to enable them to provide feedback under the Ward Councillor consultation section of the report. The existing Code of Conduct coupled with the terms and conditions of the applicable Collective Agreements determine the allocation of punitive measures to staff for non-compliance with corporate policies. Developing a standalone policy which includes a defined set of consequences for non-compliance with the existing requirements for release of reports will not override the language of applicable Collective Agreements. Based on the existence of clearly delineated requirements regarding the release of reports and associated communications to Committees and Council and the existence of language regarding the application of consequences for non-compliance with corporate policies and work performance it is felt that the direction to staff in Motion 91/8 is in place.</p>
<p>That staff be directed to provide to Transit Committee a report outlining an independent comprehensive review of Para Transpo services, including timeline, budget, review panel composition, scope of issues to be reviewed (including eligibility for Para Transpo use), and opportunities for public input, to be conducted in 2011.</p>	<p>TC: August 30, 2010</p>	<p>A. Cullen</p>	<p>http://ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/tc/2010/08-30/minutes48.htm</p>	<p>ISCS-TS</p>	<p>Recommended for closure: The Para Transpo service delivery model, including eligibility and equipment, will be addressed as well as fare structure in the 2012 Business Plan.</p>

<p>1. That Environmental Services staff be instructed to:</p> <p>a) Prepare a policy on debris in creeks, drainage ditches and other drainage courses to balance water quality and water flow concerns; and</p> <p>b) Co-ordinate efforts of staff in other departments and branches, as well as external agencies, to keep creeks, drainage ditches and other drainage courses free of unnecessary debris; and</p> <p>c) Report back to PEC on what has been put in place.</p>	<p>EC: November 16, 2010</p>	<p>P. Feltmate</p>	<p>http://ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/pec/2010/11-16/minutes83.htm</p>	<p>ISCS - ESD</p>	<p>Recommended for closure: A policy on debris in creeks and drainage ditches has been developed in conjunction with all relevant City departments. This policy is included in the Water Environment Strategy.</p>
<p>2. That Environmental Services launch a public awareness campaign on drainage issues including the role that surface accumulation of water during heavy rainfall events, the need to not remove manhole covers when water accumulates on the surface and what level of debris is acceptable or even helpful.</p>	<p>EC: November 16, 2010</p>	<p>P. Feltmate</p>	<p>http://ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/pec/2010/11-16/minutes83.htm</p>	<p>ISCS - ESD</p>	<p>Recommended for closure: The issues outlined in the motion have been incorporated into continuing communications Environmental Services has with the public on these issues. Other departments have also been consulted in the development of these communications.</p>