

**THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY**

**OF**

**OTTAWA-CARLETON**

**COUNCIL MINUTES**

The Council of the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton met at Haydon Hall, Regional Headquarters, 111 Lisgar Street, Ottawa, on Wednesday, 23 June 1999 at 1:30 p.m.

The meeting was called to order at 1:45 p.m. and opened with the singing of the National Anthem, sung by Ms. Chris McCann.

The Regional Chair, Robert Chiarelli, presided and led Council in prayer.

ALL MEMBERS WERE PRESENT.

**CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

The minutes of the Regular Council meeting of 9 June 1999 were confirmed.

**DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST ARISING FROM PRIOR MEETINGS  
OF COUNCIL AND COMMITTEES**

No declarations of interest were filed.

**COMMUNICATIONS**

1. Mayor Janet Stavinga, Township of Goulbourn, informing the Chair and members of Council of the Township's legitimate legal and moral claim to ownership of the Richmond pumping station and forcemain -- the entire site and all works.

She also provided comments with respect to the following: "Question of Ownership", "History of the Site", "The Needs of the Region", "Preferred Alternative", "Developing a Cooperative Solution is Linked to Respecting our Title to the Land" and "Creating a Fair and Equitable Partnership".

**\* COMMUNICATIONS HELD ON FILE WITH THE REGIONAL CLERK.**

## **MOTION TO INTRODUCE COMMITTEE REPORTS**

### **MOTION NO. 123**

Moved by Councillor M. McGoldrick-Larsen  
Seconded by Councillor A. Munter

**RESOLVED THAT Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee Report Nos. 43 and 44; Ottawa-Carleton Regional Police Services Board Report No. 4; Planning and Environment Committee Report Nos. 34 and 35 (In Camera); and Transportation Committee Report Nos. 40 and 41 be received and considered.**

**"CARRIED"**

**(COMMITTEE REPORTS BEGIN ON PAGE        )**

**CORPORATE SERVICES AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**  
**REPORT NO. 43**

1. **REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT CHARGE (RDC) POLICY REPORT**

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED**

1. **WHEREAS the current forecasted capital funding requirement of the 10 - 20 year time planning horizon of the Official Plan is greater than current capital budgets can sustain;**

**WHEREAS the application of the maximum theoretical RDC would contribute only a small portion of this capital funding requirement;**

**WHEREAS an application of the theoretical rate would not be competitive with RDCs in other municipalities (as described in the staff report Annex B1 Table 1);**

**WHEREAS in consultations with the OCHBA and BOMA the willingness to form a coalition to seek renewed provincial and federal commitment to growth related infrastructure became apparent;**

That Council approve the following:

- a) **That the residential RDC in the urban area be set at a uniform rate of \$7500 per full services single detached unit within the urban area;**
- b) **That the residential RDC in the rural area be set at a uniform rate of \$1488 per unserviced single detached unit in the rural area;**
- c) **That the residential RDC in the rural area be set at a uniform rate of \$2334 per serviced single detached unit in the rural area;**
- d) **That the non-residential RDC be established on a uniform region-wide basis at \$1.90 per square foot for all commercial and institutional development and the RDC for all industrial development in the Region be set at 50% of the full commercial/institutional charge, for all services, with proportionate reductions outside the various service areas;**

- e) That the RDC described in b) c) and d) remain in force for a two-year period while the Region and builders work together to redress the funding shortfall created by the withdrawal of capital funding by the provincial government;
  - f) That developers be required to make non-RDC payment of \$200 single detached unit region-wide to join other municipalities in efforts to restore federal and provincial support for growth related infrastructure, to be implemented on January 1, 2000;
  - g) That at the end of the two-year interim period the effectiveness of efforts to restore federal and provincial infrastructure capital funding be evaluated and the application of the theoretical RDC described in the By-law;
  - h) That the exemption policies contained in By-law 210 of 1991 be continued in the successor RDC By-law;
  - i) That in addition to h) all non-profit housing corporations be exempted from RDCs;
  - j) That all conversions of Commercial/industrial properties to residential use be exempt from RDCs;
  - k) That RDCs be reduced in accordance with Table 6 for development in the vicinity of transitway and/or light rail stations;
  - l) That RDCs be indexed on an annual basis, commencing on November 1, 2000 at the maximum rate provided by DCA;
  - m) That the current RDC By-law No. 210 of 1991 (as amended) be repealed and the successor By-law, the form and content of which is included in the Background Study and as amended by the recommendations of Committee, dated May 1999, be enacted.
2. That the Regional Development Charge By-law include an exemption for the Central Area and Centretown as per By-law No. 31 of 1995 and that this exemption include guidelines and criteria to be developed by staff which support our Regional Official Plan and increased densities.

3. That the RMOC incorporate a policy that would exempt development charges for contaminated sites up to the amount of the remedial costs, with this general policy being subject to a staff report to Committee and Council.
4. That item (d) exemption policies contained in By-law No. 210 of 1991 - clause 8(g) of exemption in present and proposed development charge by-law be amended to delete the word "farm".
5. That in accordance with provincial legislation banning the use of development charges for public hospitals, the remaining balance of \$3.8 million in the Hospital Regional Development Charges Reserve Fund be earmarked for non-hospital health community health services with a priority to those projects that will reduce use of the acute care hospital sector.

**RDC REFERRAL MOTIONS TO COUNCIL  
WITH NO COMMITTEE DECISION OR VOTE**

1. That the following Motion be REFERRED to Council with no Committee decision or vote, and staff prepare a report on this Motion for the 23 Jun Council consideration of the RDC issue:

That the RDC By-law be amended to exempt community health centres, long term care centres and other non-profit health facilities from paying RDC's.

SEE MOTION NO. 132

2. That the Region of Ottawa-Carleton Development Charge Background Study dated May 1999, issued separately, be approved and the theoretical rates calculated in the background study be included in the RDC By-law.

SEE MOTION NO. 139

---

---

PURSUANT TO THE *DEVELOPMENT CHARGES ACT*, DRAFT MINUTE EXTRACT OF CORPORATE SERVICES AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE 15 JUNE 99 PUBLIC HEARING WAS DISTRIBUTED TO REGIONAL COUNCIL ON 21 JUN 99.

---

---

**MOTION NO. 124**

Moved by Councillor A. Munter  
Seconded by Councillor J. Legendre

**RESOLVED THAT Committee Recommendation Nos. 1a), b) and c) be replaced with the following:**

**WHEREAS Council believes that the user-pay principle for new infrastructure should apply in order that the true costs of developing in different areas be reflected in home prices, rather than property taxes;**

**THAT Council approve the Regional Development Charges nine-zone model, as modeled by Regional staff in Table 3 of the staff report, and that for those areas that would face increases from the current RDC, those increases be phased in over four years.**

**MOTION NO. 125**

Moved by Councillor G. Hunter  
Seconded by Councillor R. van den Ham

**RESOLVED THAT the Munter/Legendre amendment {Motion No. 124} be amended in the first paragraph, to read:**

**“THAT Committee Recommendation Nos. 1a), b) and c), 2 and 3 be replaced with”...**

**The Regional Chair ruled Motion No. 125 OUT OF ORDER.**

**Motion No. 124 was then put to Council and “LOST” on a division of 12 NAYS TO 7 YEAS as follows:**

**NAYS: Councillors Kreling, Stewart, Hunter, Bellemare, Cantin, van den Ham, Hill, Hume, Beamish, McGoldrick-Larsen, Loney and Chair Chiarelli...12**

**YEAS: Councillors Byrne, Holmes, Munter, Meilleur, Doucet, Davis and Legendre...7**

**MOTION NO. 126**

Moved by Councillor A. Loney  
Seconded by Councillor M. Meilleur

**RESOLVED THAT the Departmental Recommendation be approved and that the increased charges be phased in through equal increments over the next four years, and further, that Council indicate its intention to forgo one or more of the increments based on successfully gaining new revenues which can be used towards the infrastructure program.**

**“LOST” on a division of 11 NAYS to 8 YEAS as follows:**

**NAYS: Councillors Kreling, Stewart, Hunter, Bellemare, Cantin, van den Ham, Hill, Hume, Beamish, McGoldrick-Larsen and Chair Chiarelli...11**

**YEAS: Councillors Byrne, Holmes, Munter, Meilleur, Doucet, Davis, Legendre and Loney...8**

**MOTION NO. 127**

Moved by Councillor D. Beamish  
Seconded by Councillor W. Stewart

**In order to replace Recommendation Nos. 1a), b) and c),**

**RESOLVED THAT for residential charges, Council adopt the format for three area specific Regional Development Charges for all services except roads and structures, which, because of the integrated transportation network, shall be a uniform Region-wide charge. The charges shall be set as per the staff calculations.**

**MOTION NO. 128**

Moved by Councillor P. Hume  
Seconded by Councillor G. Hunter

**RESOLVED THAT the development charges outlined in the Beamish/Stewart motion {Motion No. 127} be reduced to reflect 80/20 split on growth attribution and elimination of the charge to recover already completed projects {approximately \$930} for a charge of:**

Inside the Greenbelt:	\$7,412.12
Outside the Greenbelt:	\$8,470.51
Rural – Serviced	\$3,940.65
Rural – Unserviced	\$2,860.92

**“CARRIED” on a division of 12 YEAS TO 7 NAYS as follows:**

**YEAS:** Councillors Stewart, Hunter, Byrne, Holmes, van den Ham, Munter, Hume, Doucet, Davis, Beamish, Legendre and Loney...12

**NAYS:** Councillors Kreling, Bellemare, Cantin, Hill, Meilleur, McGoldrick-Larsen and Chair Chiarelli...7

**Motion No. 127 as amended by Motion No. 128 was then put to Council and “CARRIED” on a division of 10 YEAS to 9 NAYS as follows:**

**YEAS:** Councillors Stewart, Byrne, Holmes, Munter, Hume, Doucet, Davis, Beamish, Legendre and Loney...10

**NAYS:** Councillors Kreling, Hunter, Bellemare, Cantin, van den Ham, Hill, Meilleur, McGoldrick-Larsen and Chair Chiarelli...9



**MOTION NO. 129**

Moved by Councillor D. Bellemare  
Seconded by Councillor G. Hunter

**RESOLVED THAT Recommendation No. 1f) be amended by replacing “\$200” with “\$100”.**

**MOTION NO. 130**

Moved by Councillor A. Loney  
Seconded by Councillor A. Munter

**RESOLVED THAT Recommendations Nos. 1e), f) and g) of Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee Report No. 43, be DELETED.**

**“CARRIED”**

**As a result of Motion No. 130 being “CARRIED”, Motion No. 129 was declared REDUNDANT and not proceeded with.**

**MOTION NO. 131**

Moved by Councillor M. McGoldrick-Larsen  
Seconded by Councillor B. Hill

**RESOLVED THAT the Jock River Collector Sewer be removed from the Regional Development Charge, as the City of Nepean is including it in their Development Charges.**

**“CARRIED” on a division of 15 YEAS to 4 NAYS as follows:**

**YEAS: Councillors Kreling, Stewart, Hunter, Bellemare, Cantin, Byrne, van den Ham, Munter, Hill, Hume, Doucet, Beamish, McGoldrick-Larsen, Loney and Chair Chiarelli...15**

**NAYS: Councillors Holmes, Meilleur, Davis and Legendre...4**

**MOTION NO. 132**

Moved by Councillor A. Munter  
Seconded by Councillor M. Meilleur

**RESOLVED THAT the RDC By-law be amended to permit the exemption of community health centres, long-term care centres, public hospitals and other non-profit health facilities from paying RDC's.**

**“CARRIED” on a division of 15 YEAS to 4 NAYS as follows:**

**YEAS: Councillors Kreling, Stewart, Bellemare, Byrne, Holmes, van den Ham, Munter, Hill, Hume, Meilleur, Doucet, Davis, Legendre, Loney and Chair Chiarelli...15**

**NAYS: Councillors Hunter, Cantin, Beamish and McGoldrick-Larsen...4**

**MOTION NO. 133**

Moved by Councillor A. Munter  
Seconded by Councillor M. Meilleur

**RESOLVED THAT given that health facilities are as integral to the infrastructure of our region as sewers, roads and water pipes;**

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council endorse the principle of collecting an RDC to pay for growth-related community health needs {such as ambulances, long-term care for seniors, community health centres, etc.) and direct staff to prepare a background study on those needs and draft an amendment to the RDC By-law to implement such a community health RDC, subject to the required public notification and Council consideration.**

**“CARRIED” with Councillors Cantin, McGoldrick-Larsen and Chair Chiarelli dissenting.**

**MOTION NO. 134**

Moved by Councillor R. van den Ham  
Seconded by Councillor B. Hill

**RESOLVED THAT the RDC's in the rural areas be reduced by 50% of the roads component.**

**“LOST” on a division of 10 NAYS to 8 YEAS as follows:**

**NAYS: Councillors Hunter, Bellemare, Cantin, Byrne, Holmes, Munter, Davis, Beamish, Legendre and Loney...10**

**YEAS: Councillors Kreling, Stewart, van den Ham, Hill, Hume, Meilleur, Doucet, and Chair Chiarelli...8**

**MOTION NO. 135**

Moved by Councillor D. Beamish  
Seconded by Councillor C. Doucet

**RESOLVED THAT Recommendation No. 1d) be amended by replacing \$1.90 with \$2.70.**

**At the request of the Mover and Seconder, and with the consent of Council, Motion No. 135 was “WITHDRAWN”.**

**MOTION NO. 136**

Moved by Councillor D. Beamish  
Seconded by Councillor M. Bellemare

**RESOLVED THAT the “WHEREASES” {RECITALS} in Recommendation No. 1 all be deleted from the Motion.**

**“CARRIED”**

**MOTION NO. 137**

Moved by Councillor R. van den Ham  
Seconded by Councillor H. Kreling

**RESOLVED THAT in respect of any complete building permit applications made on or before 14 July 1999, the lower of the development charges applicable under By-law 210 of 1991, as amended, and the new Development Charges By-law shall apply provided that the building permit is issued between 15 July 1999 and 31 December 1999.**

**“CARRIED”**

**MOTION NO. 138**

Moved by Councillor R. van den Ham  
Seconded by Councillor W. Byrne

**RESOLVED THAT pursuant to the Development Charges Act, Subsection 12(3), Council determines that no further public meeting under Section 12, is required.**

**“CARRIED”**

**At the request of Council, Recommendation Nos. 2 and 3 were considered separately.**

**Recommendation No. 2 of Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee Report No. 43 was put to Council and “CARRIED” on a division of 14 YEAS to 5 NAYS as follows:**

**YEAS: Councillors Kreling, Bellemare, Byrne, Holmes, van den Ham, Munter, Hill, Meilleur, Doucet, Davis, Beamish, Legendre, Loney and Chair Chiarelli...14**

**NAYS: Councillors Stewart, Hunter, Cantin, Hume and McGoldrick-Larsen...5**

**Recommendation No. 3 of Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee was then put to Council and “CARRIED” on a division of 12 YEAS to 7 NAYS as follows:**

**YEAS: Councillors Kreling, Stewart, Byrne, Holmes, Munter, Hill, Meilleur, Doucet, Davis, Legendre, Loney and Chair Chiarelli...12**

**NAYS: Councillors Hunter, Bellemare, Cantin, van den Ham, Hume, Beamish and McGoldrick-Larsen...7**

**MOTION NO. 139**

Moved by Councillor A. Munter  
Seconded by Councillor W. Byrne

**RESOLVED THAT the Region of Ottawa-Carleton Development Charge Background Study dated May 1999, issued separately, be approved, and the theoretical rates calculated in the Background Study be included in the RDC By-law as amended.**

**“CARRIED” with Councillors Cantin, van den Ham and McGoldrick-Larsen dissenting.**

**Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee Report No. 43, as amended by Motion Nos. 127, 128, 130, 131, 132, 133, 136, 137, 138 and 139, save and except Recommendation Nos. 2 and 3, was then put to Council and “CARRIED” on a division of 10 YEAS to 9 NAYS as follows:**

**YEAS: Councillors Stewart, Byrne, Holmes, Munter, Meilleur, Doucet, Davis, Beamish, Legendre and Loney...10**

**NAYS: Councillors Kreling, Hunter, Bellemare, Cantin, van den Ham, Hill, Hume, McGoldrick-Larsen and Chair Chiarelli...9**

**MOTION NO. 140**

Moved by Councillor M. McGoldrick-Larsen  
Seconded by Councillor H. Kreling

**RESOLVED THAT Council consider a motion to RECONSIDER Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee Report No. 43 and all amendments thereto, with the exception of Recommendation Nos. 2 and 3.**

**“CARRIED” pursuant to Subsection 58(4) of the Procedure By-law on a division of 9 YEAS to 10 NAYS as follows:**

**YEAS: Councillors Kreling, Stewart, Hunter, Cantin, van den Ham, Hill, Meilleur, McGoldrick-Larsen and Chair Chiarelli...9**

**NAYS: Councillors Bellemare, Byrne, Holmes, Munter, Hume, Doucet, Davis, Beamish, Legendre and Loney...10**

**CORPORATE SERVICES AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE  
REPORT NO. 44**

1. **CONSULTANT REPORT - PEDESTRIAN LINKAGES  
IMPLEMENTATION GUIDELINES STUDY**

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**

**That Council:**

1. **Adopt the Pedestrian Linkages Implementation Guidelines report, June 7, 1999, prepared by the study team lead by Katz Webster Clancey Associates Architects Inc., (issued separately and summarized in Annex A) as the foundation for developing pedestrian connections in conjunction with related projects;**
2. **Receive for information, the conceptual implementation scenarios (issued separately) developed for the highest priority linkages by the study team, based on the guidelines;**
3. **Direct staff to work with the adjoining owners to implement the pedestrian linkages system in conjunction with related projects, utilizing the guidelines;**

4. **Direct staff to give priority to implementing high quality improvements to exterior sidewalk and pathway connections, as the primary system for pedestrian movement, in conjunction with related projects; and**
5. **Direct staff to work with affected property owners to ensure that prior to the construction of key linkages, a clear identity is developed for the overall system, in keeping with the way-finding and signage guidelines in the report.**

**“CARRIED” with Councillors Davis, Munter and Hill dissenting.**

2. **PREPARATION OF AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN**

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**

**That Council approve:**

1. **The expenditure of \$300,000 on the preparation of an Economic Development Master Plan.**
2. **The delegation of approval of the terms of reference, and the selection of consultant, to The Ottawa Partnership.**

**“CARRIED”**

3. FLEET STREET PUMPING STATION DISCHARGE PIPING  
COMMISSIONER STREET AND BRONSON AVENUE WATERMAINS  
CONTRACT NO. 98-310 AND CONSULTANT NO. CZ 0305

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**

**That Council approve:**

1. **The award of Contract 98-310 for the installation of the Fleet Street Pumping Station Discharge Piping, and the Commissioner Street and Bronson Avenue Watermains to Ottawa Greenbelt, Gloucester, for a total contract provision of \$3,448,845;**
2. **The increase to Contract CZ 0305 with Delcan Corporation, Ottawa, for construction services for the Fleet Street Pumping Station Discharge Piping Replacement, in the amount of \$89,880, bringing the revised total contract provision to \$370,680;**
3. **The transfer of funds in the amount of \$2,350,000 from the project, Urban Watermain Upgrades/Extensions (922-41927) to Fleet Street Pumping Station Discharge Pipes (922-41925).**

**“CARRIED”**

4. DISPOSITION OF SINKING FUND SURPLUS

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**

**That Council approve:**

1. **The sum of \$1,740,165.58 representing surpluses in excess of certain debenture commitments, be distributed to the Area Municipalities and to the Regional Municipality in amounts detailed in Annex A;**
2. **The surplus amounts be used in accordance with Section (44.1) of the *Regional Municipalities Act*.**

**“CARRIED”**



5. DISCONTINUANCE OF SINKING FUND LEVIESCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

That, in accordance with Section 116 (42) of the *Regional Municipalities Act R.8*, Council authorize:

1. The reduction in the amount of the annual sinking fund levy commencing June 25, 2000 in respect of the debentures authorized under By-law 126 of 1991, from \$7,950,457 to \$2,500,000;
2. The discontinuance commencing May 15, 2000, of the amount of the annual sinking fund levy in the amount of \$453,638.81 to be made in respect of the debentures authorized under By-law 102 of 1986.

“CARRIED”

6. REQUEST FOR STANDING OFFER (RFSO)  
YEAR 2000 INFORMATICS SERVICESCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve the increase in the total dollar value of the call-ups against the Standing Offer for Year 2000 Informatics Services No. 0920-19-C1/98 to a financial limitation of \$7,000,000 from \$4,500,000, which will be found within the existing Year 2000 budget.

“CARRIED”

7. LAND ACQUISITION  
FALLOWFIELD PARK AND RIDE, NEPEAN

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

**That Council approve the acquisition of 17.252 ha. of land in Lot 21, Con 2, R.F. Township of Nepean, now City of Nepean, illustrated as Part 1, Plan 4R-11848, from Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada as represented by the Minister of Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, for the sum of \$1,300,000 (plus GST), subject to the provisions outlined in the body of this report.**

**“CARRIED”**

8. APPOINTMENTS TO THE  
CHAMPLAIN DISTRICT HEALTH COUNCIL

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

**That Council approve the nomination of Councillors Alex Munter and Madeleine Meilleur for appointments to the Champlain District Health Council, to complete the remainder of a term to expire 30 November 2000.**

**“CARRIED”**

**OTTAWA-CARLETON REGIONAL POLICE SERVICES BOARD REPORT NO. 4**

1. PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS  
FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A BUSINESS PLAN

**BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS**

**That Council:**

1. **Receive the attached report entitled “Business Planning Process – Adequacy Standards Regulation” for information; and**

2. **Receive the Proposed Public Consultation Process contained in the attached report for discussion.**

**“RECEIVED”**

**PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 34**

1. **RICHMOND SEWAGE PUMPING STATION AND FORCEMAIN STUDY**

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**

**That Council:**

1. **Approve the recommendations as outlined in the May 1999 Environmental Screening Report for the above study, namely:**
  - a) **undertake capital works needed to permit the infrequent use of Richmond Lagoon Cell C for temporary storage of sewage flows as a contingency for the Richmond Pumping Station;**
  - b) **carry out improvements to the 500 mm forcemain, including twinning where it crosses underneath the Jock River and construction of a new valve chamber;**
  - c) **undertake modifications to the Richmond Pumping Station to permit pumping of Jock River water to the lagoons for the purposes of enhancing the Richmond Conservation Area;**
  - d) **construct fencing around the Richmond Lagoon Cell C to address safety concerns;**
  - e) **the Region and Township of Goulbourn enter into a joint use agreement for the Richmond Lagoon area;**
  - f) **the Region decommission the old Richmond Pumping Station.**
2. **Confirm the Regional Staff response to the Township of Goulburn's conditions for a Shared Use Agreement of the Richmond Lagoons.**

**MOTION NO. 141**

Moved by Councillor W. Stewart  
Seconded by Councillor B. Hill

**RESOLVED THAT Planning and Environment Committee Report Nos. 34 and 35 be REFERRED BACK to the Committee.**

**“CARRIED”**

**PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 35 (IN CAMERA)**

SEE MOTION No. 141

**TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 40**

1. REGIONAL CYCLING ADVISORY GROUP ANNUAL REPORT TO RMOC COUNCIL, 1998

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED**

1. **That Council receive this report for information.**
2. **That Council approve the following resolution:**

**WHEREAS the Transportation Master Plan states that bike and pedestrian modes of travel will be given priority over cars;**

**WHEREAS the Cycling Facilities Improvement Program is designed to recommend ways bike travel can be enhanced throughout the Region;**

**WHEREAS the Cycling Facilities Improvement Program is given \$150,000/year to designate towards bike-friendly road construction;**

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Cycling Facilities Improvement Program be increased to reflect the Region's commitment to increasing bike travel as stated in the Transportation Master Plan.**

**“CARRIED” with Councillors Hume and van den Ham dissenting.**

2. **ELGIN STREET - POTENTIAL VENDING LANES**

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

**That Council approve that traffic lanes not be set aside for the use of street vending on Elgin Street between Laurier Avenue and Queen Street.**

**“CARRIED”**

**TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 41**

1. **KING EDWARD AVENUE AND ST. PATRICK STREET - SAFETY IMPROVEMENT - PUBLIC HEARING**

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

**Having held a public hearing, that Council approve the construction of the proposed modifications for the intersection of King Edward Avenue and St. Patrick Street identified in Annex A.**

**“CARRIED”**

2. PARADE POLICY REVIEWCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED

**That Council approve:**

1. (a) **the limiting of major parades and other special events that require complete road closures on Bank Street between the Queensway and Lansdowne Park to one between 1 January and 30 April, one between 1 May and 31 August and one between 1 September and 31 December each year, and;**
  - (b) **the administering of the application process on seniority unless the previous year's event does not re-occur, in which case any substitute event will be approved on a first come first served basis.**
- 2. The Pride Parade be allowed to use Lansdowne Park for its parade route for a Sunday parade beginning in the year 2000.**

**“CARRIED” with Councillor van den Ham dissenting.**

3. GLEBE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION REQUEST FOR WELCOMING SIGNAGE ON BRONSON AVENUECOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

**That Council approve the installation of welcoming child figures and bilingual “Welcome to our Neighbourhood” signs on Bronson Avenue as proposed by the artist Bhat Boy and the Glebe Community Association.**

**“CARRIED”**

4. MODIFICATIONS TO INNES ROAD (REGIONAL ROAD 30) AND TENTH LINE ROAD (REGIONAL ROAD 47) TO ACCOMMODATE THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE TRINITY COMMONS SHOPPING CENTRE

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**

**That Council approve:**

1. **The installation of traffic control signals on Innes Road (Regional Road 30) at the proposed site access approximately 220 m east of Tenth Line Road (Regional Road 47), and the construction of associated roadway modifications along Innes Road and Tenth Line Road as illustrated in Annex B and C, subject to the owner, RIOTRIN Properties (Cumberland) Incorporated:**
  - a. **funding the total cost of the proposed road works and traffic control signal installation which would include paying the annual maintenance costs for the traffic control signals until such time as they meet the Ministry of Transportation of Ontario installation warrants and Council approves the assumption of the costs;**
  - b. **executing a Legal agreement with respect to (a) above;**

**subject to a public hearing if required.**

**“CARRIED”**

**MOTION TO ADOPT COMMITTEE REPORTS****MOTION NO. 142**

Moved by Councillor G. Hunter  
Seconded by Councillor A. Loney

**RESOLVED THAT Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee Report Nos. 43 and 44; Ottawa-Carleton Regional Police Services Board Report No. 4; Planning and Environment Committee Report Nos. 34 and 35; and Transportation Committee Report Nos. 40 and 41 be received and adopted as amended by Motion Nos. 127, 128, 130, 131, 132, 133, 136, 137, 138 and 139; with Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee Report No. 43 as amended – save and except Recommendation Nos. 2 and 3 being subject to RECONSIDERATION (see Motion No. 140) and with Planning and Environment Committee Report Nos. 34 and 35 being REFERRED BACK (see Motion No. 141).**

**“CARRIED” with dissents as recorded on various items.**

**MOTION TO INTRODUCE BY-LAWS****MOTION NO. 143**

Moved by Councillor M. Bellemare  
Seconded by Councillor P. Hume

**RESOLVED THAT the following by-laws be enacted and passed.**

**By-law 48 of 1999 to stop up, close and authorize the sale of part of St. Patrick Street, formerly Ottawa Street, in the City of Ottawa.**

**By-law 49 of 1999 to stop up, close and authorize the sale of part of Beechwood Avenue in the Village of Rockcliffe Park.**



**By-law 50 of 1999 to appoint Municipal Law Enforcement Officers and to repeal By-law 24 of 1996.**

**“CARRIED”**

**By-laws enacted and passed.**

**MOTIONS (OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY GIVEN)**

**MOTION NO. 144**

Moved by Councillor P. Hume  
Seconded by Councillor R. van den Ham

**RESOLVED THAT the education insert now being distributed in the water bills be terminated.**

**At the request of the Mover and Seconder, and with the consent of Council, Motion No. 144 was “WITHDRAWN”.**

**MOTIONS**

**MOTION NO. 145**

Moved by Councillor A. Munter  
Seconded by Councillor D. Beamish

**RESOLVED THAT the Rules of Procedure be suspended in order that Council may consider the following resolution regarding intolerance and hate messaging.**

**“CARRIED”**

**MOTION NO. 146**

Moved by Councillor A. Munter  
Seconded by Councillor D. Beamish

**WHEREAS Rev. Fred Phelps of Kansas is coming to Ottawa June 28 to attack Canada's Supreme Court and to spread his message of intolerance and hatred towards lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgendered people; and**

**WHEREAS Ottawa-Carleton is an accepting and open community that values all its citizens and respects the dignity and human rights of all those citizens;**

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Rev. Phelp's message and actions are not welcome here; and**

**FURTHER THAT Council endorses the peaceful vigil organized by community and religious organizations which is being held to affirm our community's support for human rights, tolerance and the fair treatment of all people.**

**"CARRIED"**

**MOTION NO. 147**

Moved by Councillor A. Munter  
Seconded by Councillor H. Kreling

**RESOLVED THAT the Rules of Procedure be suspended in order that Council may consider a motion with respect to the commencement time for the 14 July 1999 Council meeting.**

**"CARRIED"**

**MOTION NO. 148**

Moved by Councillor A. Munter  
Seconded by Councillor H. Kreling

**RESOLVED THAT the commencement time for the Council meeting scheduled for 14 July 1999 be as determined by the Regional Chair.**

**“CARRIED”**

**CONFIRMATION BY-LAW****MOTION NO. 149**

Moved by Councillor J. Legendre  
Seconded by Councillor D. Holmes

**RESOLVED THAT By-law 51 of 1999 to confirm the proceedings of Council be enacted and passed.**

**“CARRIED”**

**By-law enacted and passed.**

**ADJOURNMENT**

**Prior to adjournment, the Roll was called at the request of Council.**

**PRESENT: Councillors Kreling, Stewart, Bellemare, Cantin, Holmes, Munter, Hill, Hume, Meilleur, Doucet, Davis, Legendre, Loney and Chair Chiarelli...14**

**ABSENT: Councillors Hunter, Byrne, van den Ham, Beamish and McGoldrick-Larsen...5**

**Council adjourned at 5:38 p.m.**

---

**CLERK**

---

**CHAIR**