

MINUTES

CORPORATE SERVICES AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF OTTAWA-CARLETON

CHAMPLAIN ROOM

05 MARCH 1996

3:00 P.M.

PRESENT

Chair: P. Clark

Members: M. Bellemare, B. Hill, P. Hume, G. Hunter, A. Loney, B. McGarry,
W. Stewart, R. van den Ham

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee confirm the Minutes of the 20 February 1996 meeting.

CARRIED

REGULAR ITEMS

ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORTATION

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

1. Carlsbad Springs Alternative Technology
Water Supply - Contract No. CC-6017
- Environment and Transportation Commissioner's report dated 25 Jan 96

Councillor van den Ham referenced a motion made at the Planning and Environment Committee regarding checking with the Ministry to ensure that the funding was only for the trickle-feed system. The Councillor then moved a motion to amend the staff recommendation in this regard.

M. Sheflin, Environment and Transportation Commissioner, requested the date in the amendment be changed to read March 26 rather than March 27. Councillor van den Ham concurred with the change of date.

The Committee then voted on the staff recommendation as amended.

Moved by R. van den Ham

That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee and Council approve the contract award to Ainley Graham and Associates Limited for Contract No. CC-6017 to provide detailed engineering design and construction administration services for the Carlsbad Springs Alternative Technology Water Supply in the amount of \$785,000.00, conditional upon written confirmation by March 26, 1996 from the Provincial Minister of the Environment that the funding deadline will not be extended and that only the trickle-feed system will be considered for funding.

CARRIED as amended

2. Lemieux Island Water Purification Plant
Chemical Storage and Feed Systems
Contract Award, Contract No. CW-5210
Consultant Appointment, Contract No. CC-4259
- Environment and Transportation Commissioner's report dated 05 Feb 96

Mr. Peter Vice, Solicitor for Black & MacDonald Limited, explained his client was the mechanical sub-contractor for the successful contractor, Curwood & Sons Ltd. Mr. Vice reported Black & MacDonald had provided the figure of \$1.3 million to all the companies that submitted a bid for the project. Mr. Vice pointed out Curwood & Sons were selected as the successful contractor because of their low bid and because of the expertise they had with regard to the listed sub-contractors. Mr. Vice continued to explain that Curwood & Sons had since requested Black & MacDonald to reduce their price, a request he did not believe was fair. In summary, Mr. Vice requested the award of the contract to Curwood & Sons be given on the basis that they use the sub-contractors listed in their tender document.

Chair Clark pointed out the contract was between the Region and the low bidder, Curwood & Sons, and not between the Region and the sub-contractors.

D. Cameron, Regional Solicitor, explained the public tender system used by the Region worked extremely well and recognized the contract role between the Region and the

general contractor only. Mr. Cameron reported there were situations that did arise where there was a request to assist the sub-contractor. However, he continued to explain to alter the current contract relationships would create the potential for risk. Mr. Cameron recommended the Committee retain the integrity of the tender process and contract relationships.

Councillor Hunter inquired if Curwood & Sons were successful because Black & MacDonald were listed as a sub-contractor. Mr. Cameron explained that as part of the contract review process, the ability of the sub-contractors were assessed. He added if there was a decision to replace a listed sub-contractor, the Region, as the owner of the project, must be satisfied that the replacement sub-contractor had the ability to perform the work.

Councillor McGarry inquired if the Committee would be informed of any changes. Mr. Cameron explained the approval of a changed sub-contractor's qualification was generally provided by the appropriate Commissioner. Mr. Cameron pointed out that the listing of a sub-contractor in a tender document did not necessarily create any form of a contractual agreement between the general and sub-contractors, however, the issue was presently under the Court of Appeal. Mr. Cameron agreed to inform the Committee on the results of the Appeal once complete.

Chair Clark did not support awarding the contract on the condition requested by Mr. Vice regarding the sub-contractor, as he believed it represented the Region entering into an agreement with Black & MacDonald. This, he noted, would make the Region responsible for the sub-contractor's work rather than keeping the responsibility with the general contractor.

Councillor McGarry requested to receive notification of any change to the sub-contractors for the project. Mr. Cameron reported written notice for a change to a sub-contractor would be received and must be approved by the Commissioner. He added the Commissioner had to satisfy himself that the new sub-contractor was qualified and capable of carrying out the work. Commissioner Sheflin agreed to inform the Councillor should there be any notification of change.

The Committee then considered the staff recommendations as follows:

That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee and Council approve:

- 1. The award of Contract CW-5210 for Chemical Storage and Feed Systems at Lemieux Island to Curwood & Sons Ltd. of Nepean, Ontario for a total provision of \$4,316,200.**

2. **The next phase of Contract CC-4259 for CH2M Gore & Storrie Ltd. of Ottawa, Ontario to provide Contract Administration Services for the above contract, in the amount of \$545,000, bringing the revised total contract provision to \$978,000.**

CARRIED

3. **Ottawa South Reservoir and Pumping Station
Contract Nos. CW-5247 and CC-5164
Project No. 922-41610**
- Environment and Transportation Commissioner's report dated 24 Jan 96

That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee and Council approve:

1. **The award of Contract No. CW-5247 to Taplen Construction (1979) Ltd., (Ottawa), for the construction of the Ottawa South Reservoir and Pumping Station, for a total contract provision of \$6,100,635.**
2. **The increase in scope to contract No. CC-5164 with Robinson Consultants Inc. (Kanata), to include contract administration and construction inspection services for the construction of the Ottawa South Reservoir and Pumping Station, in the amount of \$742,000, bringing the revised total contract provision to \$1,487,380.**
3. **The increase to the budget authority from \$7,405,000 to \$8,155,000 in Account No. 922-41610, Ottawa South Reservoir & Pumping Station. Funds are available from the previously identified \$1,420,000 Reduced Regional Funding Requirement transferred to the Water Capital Reserve Fund from Capital Account No. 922-41610.**

CARRIED

4. Supply of Sodium Hypochlorite
Tender 0885-38BB-T1/96
Tender Award
- Environment and Transportation Commissioner's report dated 07 Feb 96

That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee and Council approve the award of Tender 0885-38BB-T1/96 for the supply of Sodium Hypochlorite at the Robert O. Pickard Environmental Centre to Colgate-Palmolive Canada Inc., Toronto, Ontario, for a total contract provision of \$188,547 for the period of 1 March 1996 to 28 February 1997.

CARRIED

5. Supply of Liquid Sodium Silicate
Tender 0180-84-T1/96 Tender Award
- Environment and Transportation Commissioner's report dated 08 Feb 96

That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee and Council approve the award of Tender 0180-84-T1/96 for the supply of Liquid Sodium Silicate to National Silicates Ltd., Toronto, Ontario, from the date of award to 31 January 1999 as follows:

1. The unit cost for the first price period, from the date of award to 31 January 1997, of \$197.07 per metric tonne, based on estimated quantities, for a total contract provision of \$192,279.90.
2. The unit cost for the second price period (pending annual performance review, budget review and Council approval), 1 February 1997 to 31 January 1998, which will be no greater than \$206.92 per metric tonne, based on estimated quantities, for a total provision of \$195,961.81.
3. The unit cost for the third price period (pending annual performance review, budget review and Council approval), 1 February 1998 to 31 January 1999, which will be no greater than \$217.27 per metric tonne, based on estimated quantities, for a total provision of \$204,626.98.

CARRIED

TRANSPORTATION

6. Hunt Club Road Reconstruction - Bowesville
Road to Airport Parkway - Consultant Appointment
- Environment and Transportation Commissioner's report dated 13 Feb 96

Councillor McGarry declared a conflict of interest and vacated his seat as he is a member of the Ottawa Hunt and Golf Club.

That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee and Council approve the appointment of M. M. Dillon Limited, Gloucester, to carry out specialized construction and administration of Contract 96-506 for the tree planting and ground cover of Hunt Club Road Reconstruction, Bowesville Road to Airport Parkway, for a total contract provision of \$50,000.00.

CARRIED

7. Hunt Club Road Extension - Cedarview Road to
Greenbank Road - Consultant Appointment
- Environment and Transportation Commissioner's report dated 13 Feb 96

That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee and Council approve the appointment of Corush Sunderland Wright Limited, Nepean, to carry out specialized construction and administration of Contract No. 95-534 for the tree planting and ground cover of Hunt Club Road Extension, for a total contract provision of \$60,000.00

CARRIED

LEGAL/POLICE SERVICES

8. O.P.P. Policing Contract
- Joint Regional Solicitor and Chief of Police report dated 19 Feb 96
- Written submission from Ms. Emma McLennan, Co-Chair, Kanata Safety Committee dated 5 Mar 96

Chair Clark introduced the report by stating the Ontario Provincial Police (O.P.P.) were not staffing up to the levels that were outlined in the proposal of service, and that the contract negotiations had not been successful to date. The Chair explained the contractual relationship was between the Regional government and the O.P.P., however, the

operations were supervised by the Ottawa-Carleton Police Services Board. Chair Clark pointed out concern had been expressed from several of the area municipal Mayors that they were not receiving the service they were paying for.

The Committee then heard from the following delegations:

Brian Coburn, Mayor, Township of Cumberland, suggested Regional government, in their ability to lobby, should play a lead role to change the rules and regulations that were contributing to the decrease in number of police officers on the streets. As an example, he suggested a possible change would be to implement the night court system presently used in Toronto, as 61% of an Ontario O.P.P. officer's time was spent in court. Mayor Coburn reviewed the Township of Cumberland's O.P.P. staff compliment and referenced statistics on increased violence and crime. Mayor Coburn stated this was a universal problem with all municipalities serviced by the O.P.P. In referencing Annex A to the report, O.P.P. 1995 Contract Proposal, Mayor Coburn believed there would be an increase in figures if the same analysis was done today, noting the material was prepared in 1993-94. In closing, Mayor Coburn referenced questions he believed would be answered through the development of the regional plan to assume O.P.P. policed areas, but emphasized the plan required the appropriate level of consultation and participation from other interested bodies.

Al Bouwers, Vice Chair, Ottawa-Carleton Police Services Board, reported there was a significant morale problem among the O.P.P. officers. He stated the need to clarify questions and to make a decision on the complete regionalization of police services. With regard to the current level of O.P.P. service, Mr. Bouwers agreed this needed addressing in terms of what would happen should complete regionalization occur. He explained the relationship terms, cooperation and visibility of the police officers were a few among many important issues to be addressed. In closing, Mr. Bouwers suggested deferral of the item for a short time as he planned to meet with the Mayors and Member of Parliament for the area to discuss the matter.

Chair Clark agreed consultation with many bodies was necessary. However, he pointed out the report before the Committee dealt with the need for a contract with the O.P.P. and the contract requirements that were needed to provide flexibility for the Police Services Board.

Councillor Loney moved a motion to defer the item until the next meeting of the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee. [This motion was not voted on as a motion for referral tabled later took precedent and carried.]

Merle Nicholds, Mayor, City of Kanata, requested the report be referred to the Police Services Board to provide the proper forum to discuss service delivery. In referencing the

report, she believed the issue related to service as it represented the potential for a change in the service provider. Mayor Nicholds stated her community had been assured there would be a planned process at which time their numerous questions would be responded to. Mayor Nicholds reiterated Mayor Coburn's comments regarding the problem with vacancies, officers' morale and service delivery in her community. In closing, Mayor Nicholds expressed concern with the confusion and uncertainty felt by the community and the O.P.P. officers.

Chair Clark explained there were two issues (i) the current level of service, and (ii) the proposed level of service under a complete regionalized system and the benefits to be derived for the residents of Ottawa-Carleton. The Chair agreed there was a need to consult and advise the public, and added the Police Service Board intended to fulfil this requirement. Chair Clark reiterated that the contract was between the Regional government and the O.P.P. In referencing Bill 143, the Chair reported it was mandatory that the O.P.P. supply the service until such time as the Regional police replaced it. In summary, Chair Clark reviewed the recommendations and did not believe they denied the public process, but provided a framework through which the Police Service Board could operate given the fact a contract may not be reached.

In response to questions from Chair Clark, D. Cameron, Regional Solicitor, confirmed Bill 143 did not state it was mandatory to enter a contract with the O.P.P. In addition, Mr. Cameron confirmed the O.P.P. was obligated by statute to continue to provide police service, until it was provided in some other manner.

Speaking to the issue, Chair Clark expressed concern about the visibility and level of service currently provided by the O.P.P., in particular, since it was now a Regional service. The Chair acknowledged the uncertainty expressed by Mayor Nicholds, and believed that no matter when the change took place, that uncertainty would still exist. He confirmed opportunities for employment would be offered to the O.P.P. officers with only the balance requiring re-staffing. He emphasized the need to decide on the future of police in the Region and begin the appropriate process.

Councillor Hill expressed concern in that the report was before the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee, in particular, when there were four newly appointed members to the Police Services Board. She stated it was her understanding that the Police Services Board was responsible for policing matters in Ottawa-Carleton. In referencing the need for consultation, Councillor Hill stated there were many questions from the public, the Mayors and the O.P.P. that needed to be addressed by the Police Services Board. The Councillor suggested the possibility of improved contract negotiations with the change in the Police Board membership. Speaking to recommendation no. 2, Councillor Hill concurred with earlier statements regarding the level of service provided by the O.P.P. and agreed there was a need for the O.P.P. Commissioner to commit the resources required in keeping with the intent of Bill 143. In

closing, Councillor Hill believed there was still a great deal of work to be done regarding the current amalgamation of the former Nepean, Ottawa and Gloucester services. She emphasized the rural residents wanted assurance they would receive better service under a complete regional service. Councillor Hill moved a motion for referral to the Regional Police Services Board.

Councillor Munter urged the Committee to support Councillor Hill's motion for referral. The Councillor referenced the increase in violence and crime in the communities and the issue of vacancies with the O.P.P. Councillor Munter expressed concern with how anyone could favour a complete regionalized service for January 1, 1998 without information on the cost, the number of officers, the proposed plan, etc. In closing, Councillor Munter emphasized the need for a plan and public consultation first. He did not believe it was responsible or fair to the community to set a date for amalgamation without having any substantial information to consider.

Councillor van den Ham stated he supported the staff recommendation as it was important for Council to send out the appropriate message, and advise the Police Services Board on specific items such as this. With regard to the questions set out in the referral motion, the Councillor stated he foresaw the answers coming back in the plan to be developed by the Police Chief and Board. Councillor van den Ham noted there was little information in the report regarding community consultation, however, stated it had been addressed through the discussions, and understood it would be a key element in the plan.

Councillor Loney referenced his motion for deferral for two weeks, however, stated that after listening to the discussion, he believed the report should be approved without deferral. With regard to the date of January 1, 1998, the Councillor believed there was no doubt it would be practicable, achievable and beneficial to the community. Councillor Loney concurred with Councillor van den Ham in that it was Council's duty to notify the Province of their decision regarding the contract, and to begin planning for a complete regionalized service by 1998. Councillor Loney stated that setting a date would decrease the level of uncertainty felt by the residents, O.P.P. and area municipal Mayors.

Councillor Hunter supported the motion for referral to the Police Services Board as he believed the questions set out in Councillor Hill's motion were valid and required answers prior to supporting a complete regionalized service. The Councillor acknowledged the frustration expressed by the residents who were now paying taxes for police service, but felt there was a decrease in service and risk of safety. In closing, Councillor Hunter believed it was necessary for the Police Services Board to set appropriate service level priorities as part of the plan.

Councillor McGarry referenced Councillor Hill's motion for referral, however, stated he had no doubt the answers and a well prepared plan would be forthcoming in time.

However, he believed if the comfort level in the community would increase with the referral to the Board, he would support the motion.

Councillor Hill referenced the staff requirement and work involved in further amalgamation to a complete regional service. The Councillor suggested Chief Ford review the option of having regional officers work with the O.P.P. in the rural townships to become familiar with the differences between rural and urban policing.

Councillor Pratt reviewed each of the report recommendations. With regard to recommendation no. 1, he reported that after nine months of negotiations with the O.P.P., they were still not able to reach agreement on a contract. Councillor Pratt did not believe the new Police Board would be any more successful in negotiating these terms. The Councillor referenced the comments made by the Regional Solicitor, and emphasized the O.P.P. were obligated to provide the service under Bill 143. Councillor Pratt acknowledged the information and plan was not currently available, however, would surface through the planning and research process.

In speaking to recommendation no. 2, Councillor Pratt emphasized the status quo with the O.P.P. was not acceptable in that the public was paying for a certain level of policing based on a proposal prepared by the O.P.P., yet were not getting that service.

With regard to recommendation no. 3, Councillor Pratt stated the January 1, 1998 date was based on discussions with members of the Police Executive and Chief. He emphasized the need to begin the planning process which would in turn decrease the uncertainty and low morale expressed by officers and the public. The Councillor confirmed there would be extensive public consultation involved in the process. In closing, Councillor Pratt pointed out the efficiencies and benefits to be realized through a complete regionalized service.

Councillor Hume referenced other processes recently regionalized, such as solid waste. He pointed out the process was as follows: (i) a decision was made when to regionalize the service; (ii) staff were directed to develop a plan; and (iii) the plan was later approved by Committee which resulted in the tender and improved service at a lower cost. However, Councillor Hume explained he would reluctantly support Councillor Hill's motion for referral as he did not see any other opportunity to obtain the communities support and make the change as agreeable as possible.

Chair Clark believed entering a contract with the O.P.P. for another five years would only defer the problem. With regard to responsibility, the Chair confirmed it was Council's obligation to determine the contract with the O.P.P., in consultation with the Police Executive and Board. The Chair believed the questions outlined in Councillor Hill's motion were valid and recommended adding "what was the potential for shared resources

to help with the short fall during the interim period". However, he stated they would be answered through the development of a plan and suggested the motion for referral be considered as an amendment to recommendation no. 3. He acknowledged that a full public consultation process was a key component to the plan. The Chair continued to explain this step would provide the assurance of a stable, consistent and reliable level of service to the entire Region. With regard to cost, the Chair stated the amalgamation could be done at no increase in the projected costs that were approved a year ago with respect to policing costs. Chair Clark reported the current amalgamation of the Ottawa, Nepean and Gloucester service was progressing well and would be enhanced significantly through the computer and telephone systems to be implemented by the fall of 1996. In closing, the Chair agreed it was necessary for the Police Service Board to address the operational issues, however, emphasized it was Council's responsibility to address the contract negotiations and terms.

In response to a question from Chair Clark, Deputy Chief A. Mackie and Police Services Board Chair P. Vice stated it would take approximately three months for staff to develop the plan and bring it forward to the Board. Mr. Vice confirmed it was always the intention of the Board to conduct extensive consultation and research.

With regard to procedure, Chair Clark confirmed the plan as developed by the Police Executive and Board would be circulated to all members of Council for their information.

Councillor Hill reiterated that she was not confident a complete regionalized service could be created for January 1998 at no increased cost and with the provision of a service acceptable to the residents.

Moved by B. Hill

That Recommendation Nos. 1, 3 and 4 as outlined below, be referred to the Police Services Board for a report that addresses the following questions:

- a) **What plan is proposed for expanding into O.P.P.-policed areas?**
- b) **What needs analysis has been undertaken to justify these recommendations?**
- c) **How and when will the community be consulted?**
- d) **How many regional police officers would serve areas currently policed by the O.P.P.?**
- e) **How many regional police detachments would there be and where would they be located?**
- f) **What are the capital start-up costs for this expansion? How will they be funded?**
- g) **What are the ongoing operating costs? What service levels are these cost projections based on?**
- h) **What is the status of the current amalgamation and is January 1, 1998 a feasible date for expansion?**
- i) **What is the potential for shared resources to help with any short fall in the interim period?**

CARRIED

YEAS: B. Hill, P. Hume, G. Hunter, B. McGarry, W. Stewart5
NAYS: M. Bellemare, A. Loney, R. van den Ham, Chair Clark4

Reference: Recommendation Nos. 1, 3 and 4:

- 1. That the Commissioner of the Ontario Provincial Police be advised that any contract for the provision of police services must allow Regional Council to assume responsibility for policing in any or all areas of Ottawa-Carleton on or before January 1, 1998, should this be practicable.
- 3. That the Ottawa-Carleton Regional Police Services Board, in cooperation with the Chief of Police, implement a plan for the assumption of policing from the O.P.P. which will result in the full regionalization of police services on or before January 1, 1998, should this be practicable.

4. That a copy of this report be forwarded to the Commissioner of the Ontario Provincial Police and to the Ontario Civilian Commission on Police Services.

The Committee then voted on the remaining staff recommendation no. 2 as follows:

2. **That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee and Council approve that the Commissioner of the Ontario Provincial Police be requested to commit those resources, determined necessary by O.P.P. staff to provide adequate and effective police service, set out in the "Proposal for Ontario Provincial Police Contract Policing" for Ottawa-Carleton and summarized in Annex "A", in keeping with the intent of Bill 143.**

CARRIED

Councillor Munter inquired on procedure and if the referral motion would rise to Council for their consideration. After lengthy discussion, D. Cameron, Regional Solicitor, confirmed that when a substantive report such as this came forward and there was a significant referral proposal, it would go forward to Council for their consideration. Mr. Cameron added that an issue of a minor nature or directive for action similar to tabling with the expectation it would be back to the Committee within a week or two, would probably not go forward to Council for approval. In summary, Mr. Cameron believed all the committee recommendations should go forward to Council for their consideration.

PROPERTY AND PLANNING

9. Sale of Surplus Land
Bowesville Road and Old Riverside Drive
City of Ottawa
 - Planning and Property Commissioner's report dated 29 Feb 96
 - Letter from Mr. Alan Asselstine, Hunt Club Community Organization, dated 5 Mar 96

Councillor McGarry declared a conflict of interest and left the meeting room as he is a member of the Ottawa Hunt and Golf Club. Councillor Stewart declared a conflict of interest and left the meeting room as she is presently involved as Head of another organization in a litigation matter with one of the property owners.

The Committee heard from the following delegations:

Mr. Tryfon Constantinou, a resident near the Ottawa Hunt and Golf Club, explained he was directly affected by the closure of Bowesville Road. Mr. Constantinou stated his property value would decrease as access to his residence was now restricted with the road closure. He expressed concern with the sale price at which the property was being offered to the Ottawa Hunt and Golf Club, and suggested it was much too low. Mr. Constantinou emphasized that if the land was to be sold, the appropriate price should be set. He also noted others were interested in purchasing the land for the same price and suggested a public tender process should be considered.

Chair Clark pointed out the property was not a building lot, and was encumbered by utilities easements and rights-of-way.

Mr. Manny Montenegro, Lang Mitchener, Solicitor for the Tudor Inn Reception Hall, explained the Tudor Inn was the only property other than the Ottawa Hunt and Golf Club that remained on this section of Bowesville Road. Mr. Montenegro explained the closure of the road from Hunt Club would have a severe consequences to the value of his client's business and the property. Mr. Montenegro also expressed concern with the sale price of \$150,000 and did not believe it represented current market value. He requested the staff recommendation be amended to allow Tudor Inn the opportunity to purchase the property at the same price, and added his client was prepared to deed the land back to the Region. He believed this was a better offer and pointed out his client was prepared to go to this extreme in order to avoid the road closure, decrease property and business value, and sale of the land to the Golf Club.

Mr. Peter Vice, Solicitor for the Ottawa Hunt and Golf Club, pointed out the Regional road network was based on the assumption that once regional roads were constructed in an area, there would not be a need to maintain smaller local roads if they were deemed not necessary. Mr. Vice noted this rationale had been consistent from regional staff throughout the seven year debate of the issue. Speaking to the sale of the land, Mr. Vice pointed out the Act required the Region to offer the property to the abutting land owner first, and therefore, could not accept Tudor Inn's proposal. He also noted that under their proposal, the Region would have to continue maintaining the road which had already been determined surplus to its needs. With regard to the value of the property, Mr. Vice pointed out the land was a closed road and could not be valued in comparison to a building lot in the area. In closing, Mr. Vice confirmed the Ottawa Hunt and Golf Club were prepared to honour the conditions and obligations in the report, and requested the Committee approve the report as presented.

In response to a question from Councillor Hunter, E. McArthur, RMOC Solicitor, confirmed the Region was required by statute to offer the property to the abutting land owner first. He noted it was only if the Region and the abutting land owner could not come to an agreement, would it be possible to negotiate with other parties.

In reference to Riverside Drive, Councillor Hunter indicated this road was currently four lanes. He inquired if there was any protection should the Region determine it necessary to expand from four to six lanes, or would there be further expropriation. Councillor Hunter suggested the necessity to retain a right of way along Riverside Drive for this purpose. R. Ennor, Director, Property Services, stated he would have to look into the matter and would respond to the inquiry prior to the report going to Council.

Councillor Hunter pointed out there was a portion of Bowesville Road to remain open north of the turning circle up to Uplands Drive, and pointed out this created three separate sections of the road. The Councillor inquired if staff had considered renaming the sections to eliminate confusion. M. Sheflin, Environment and Transportation Commissioner, reported the section south of the airport was a local road. Councillor Hunter confirmed the Regional sign into and out of the airport was still Bowesville Road. He suggested the Regional Street Naming Committee or City of Ottawa Street Naming Committee be asked to consider renaming the section from the cul-de-sac to the north to something such as Tudor Inn Lane, to recognize they were the primary property holders. Chair Clark suggested the direction be treated as an inquiry to the Transportation Commissioner as to why the three separate sections of Bowesville Road were named Bowesville Road, and what options were available.

Councillor van den Ham requested clarification with the terms of the agreement, in particular, the obligations surrounding the construction of the cul-de-sac and pathway. Mr. Sheflin confirmed the Region was responsible for constructing the items, but at the expense of the Ottawa Hunt and Golf Club.

The Committee then voted on the staff recommendations as follows:

That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee and Regional Council, pursuant to the legal closing of a portion of Bowesville Road:

- 1. Declare as surplus to Regional needs, that part of Bowesville Road; legally described as Part 1, Reference Plan 4R-11061 and Parts 1 & 2, Reference Plan 5R-12249 containing approximately 7,691 m² of vacant land, as indicated on Annex "A", attached;**
- 2. Declare as surplus to Regional needs, a portion of Old Riverside Drive, closed by by-law #225 in 1990 and legally described as Parts 4 to 13, Reference Plan 5R-12390 containing approximately 4,757m² of vacant land, , as indicated on Annex "B", attached;**

3. **Accept an Offer from the Ottawa Hunt and Golf Club Limited in the amount of \$150,000 for the lands described in recommendations 1 and 2, in accordance with the terms outlined in this report;**
4. **Approve the acquisition of approximately 144 m² (1550 ft²) of vacant land from the Ottawa Hunt and Golf Club for the total consideration of \$1.00, required for the construction of a cul-de-sac located immediately north of the portion of Bowesville Road to be closed, as indicated on Annex "A", attached. The construction of the cul-de-sac and the pathway will be at the expense of the Ottawa Hunt and Golf Club Limited. The pathway is to be maintained by the Ottawa Hunt and Golf Club.**

CARRIED

REGIONAL CLERK

10. Re-Appointment: Regional Housing Authority
- Regional Clerk's report dated 19 Feb 96

That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee and Council approve the re-appointment of Mr. Chris Arnold, Ottawa, Ontario as one of the Region's four representatives on the Ottawa-Carleton Housing Authority, for a term beginning 1 February 1996 and ending 31 January 1998.

CARRIED

11. Appointment: Ottawa-Carleton Regional Housing Authority - Selection of Sub-Committee
- Regional Clerk's report dated 28 Feb 96

That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee approve that Councillors Hume and van den Ham form the sub-committee to review applications and recommend a candidate for the Ottawa-Carleton Regional Housing Authority appointment.

CARRIED as amended

12. Association Française des Municipalités de l'Ontario (AFMO)
Board of Directors
- Regional Clerk's report dated 22 Feb 96

That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee and Council approve the name of Councillor Michel Bellemare be submitted to the Association française des municipalités de l'Ontario (AFMO) for consideration to serve as the Regional representative on their Board of Directors.

CARRIED

13. Grant Request for 2001 Canada Summer Games
- Regional Clerk's report dated 27 Feb 96

That the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee and Regional Council approve a grant of \$35,000 to the Ottawa-Carleton 2001 Canada Summer Games Bid Committee, conditional upon equivalent support in services and kind from the area municipalities. Funds are to be provided from Provision For Unforeseen.

CARRIED

INFORMATION PREVIOUSLY DISTRIBUTED

FINANCE

1. Report on Petty Cash and Change Funds - 1995
(As Per *Corporate Policy Manual*, Chapter 4, Annex 4-A)
- Finance Commissioner's memorandum dated 20 Feb 96

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 5:15 p.m.

COORDINATOR

CHAIR