REGION OF OTTAWA-CARLETON RÉGION D'OTTAWA-CARLETON

REPORT RAPPORT

Our File/N/Réf. Your File/V/Réf.

DATE 21 September 1999

TO/DEST. Co-ordinator

Community Services Committee

FROM/EXP. Social Services Commissioner

SUBJECT/OBJET PRELIMINARY COSTING OF TASK FORCE ON POVERTY

RECOMMENDATIONS - RESPONSE TO MOTION CS 33(99)

DEPARTMENTAL RECOMMENDATION

That the Community Services Committee receive this report for information.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to provide preliminary costing information on the recommendations appearing in the Task Force on Poverty's Interim Report: <u>People First: Creating Hope Through Change</u>.

BACKGROUND

The People's Hearings was a process developed by a group of community organizations to provide a forum for the voices of the poor. Testimony from the hearings was captured in a report entitled <u>People First/Les gens d'abord</u> (October 1997) which was presented to Regional Council. Subsequently, Community Services Committee approved a motion that a Task Force on Poverty be struck, including people who have experienced living in poverty and Regional staff, to ensure the recommendations in <u>People First</u> became the basis for action.

Under the Task Force, elected representatives of communities of the poor came together with appointed delegates of Regional government to work together to find ways to improve the lives of people living in poverty. The Task Force was established in September 1998, chaired jointly by a community representative and a Regional representative (Social Services). The Task Force's Interim Report, People First: Creating Hope Through Change, was presented at the Community Services Committee of July 8, 1999. Committee received the report and directed Social Services to co-ordinate the preliminary costing of the report's 27 recommendations.

DISCUSSION

Overall Advocacy Strategy

It is very clear that a significant number of recommendations outlined below require an overall advocacy strategy. The most efficient approach to this issue would require expertise in intergovernmental affairs complemented by sound information and analysis. This comprehensive approach might best be placed at the corporate level e.g. the CAO's office. It is estimated that a .5 FTE would be required for the development and implementation of an overall advocacy strategy plan.

The Recommendations requiring an overall advocacy strategy include Recommendations 1, 3, 13, 16, 17, 20 and 25.

Recommendations	Preliminary Costing and Additional Departmental Comments
Recommendation 1	It is estimated that a .5 FTE
That the Region in partnership with community lobby the	would be required for the
Province to increase social assistance rates for basic needs	development and
and shelter to reflect the actual costs of a nutritious diet and	implementation of an overall
adequate housing and utilities.	advocacy strategy. The position
Recommendation 3	would require an expertise in
That the Region in partnership with the community lobby the	intergovernmental affairs and a
Province to increase asset limits and earnings before claw-	corporate "home" since
back for both Ontario Works (OW) and Ontario Disability	effective advocacy will require
Support Program (ODSP) given that the current limits act as	intergovernmental
a barrier to increasing social assistance recipients' economic	communication through both
self-sufficiency.	political and administrative
Recommendation 13	structures at provincial, regional
That the Region request that municipalities convert the	and local levels of government
individual subsidy provided by the municipality for	
recreational programs to a Family subsidy so that unused	This work would also require
portions of one family members' subsidy may be used by	expertise in information and
another family member.	analysis. Some work has
Recommendation 16	already begun within Health and
That Regional Council advocate for more subsidized licensed	Social Services to compare
[child] care in Ottawa-Carleton.	social assistance rates against a
Recommendation 17	basic nutritious food basket and
That Regional Council advocate more specifically for flexible	average rents (discounted a
licensed [child] care for evening and night time child care.	further 15%) and average utility
	rates (see Annex A).
Recommendation 20	
That the Region approve in principle that parents working	

night shifts have access to subsidized child care in the parent's home, and that Regional Council lobby the Province to allow in home licensed and subsidized night care for reasons other than a disability.

Recommendation 25

That the Region, community members and organizations lobby the Provincial government to create new funds to cover hydro and telephone basic fees, along with "basic needs" and "shelter", as part of basic monthly social assistance rates.

Social Services

Social Services provided preliminary costing and additional comments for the following recommendations.

Preliminary Costing and Additional Departmental Comments Recommendations The Task Force on Employment (TFOE) Recommendation 2 That a pilot project be initiated by December completed the first phase of its work in the 1999 to establish IDA's (Independent summer of 1999. Subsequently, a working Development Accounts), exempt from asset group was established to research and develop limits, in Ottawa-Carleton to enable lowfurther a number of ideas that emerged from the income people to save for an education for first phase of the TFOE's work, including themselves or their children, a house, a business IDA's and Micro Investment Funds. (These or other approved purposes, and that a were also recommended by the Task Force on sponsoring mechanism be developed to enable Poverty). The TFOE working group will community donors to contribute to these report on preliminary costing for these items by February 2000. accounts. Recommendation 4 That the Region establish an arms length Micro At this time the cost to Social Services is a .5 Investment Fund that will require applications FTE to work on these items as well as a range of other TFOE items. This cost has already to provide approved business plan and will be linked with appropriate training and mentoring, been incurred as part of the TFOE initiative. and that the Region secure ongoing sources of operational funds for the fund itself and assistance in securing capital for the Investment Fund.

Recommendations

Recommendation 5

That the Region support the development of a pilot project to provide:

- a) technology access points through Community Resource and Health Centres;
- b) training, technology, materials and technological support in these centers;
- c) mentorship to community residents who seek advice, counsel, and support in order to successfully participate in the economy; and
- d) additional programs and services to be locally defined by each community.

Preliminary Costing and Additional Departmental Comments

The idea of establishing Technology Learning Centres germinated at the Task Force on Poverty. Since then, a community Steering Group has been established to explore and develop further this idea. The Steering Committee has a number of community representatives including both the private and non-profit sectors and the Task Force on Poverty. There is no reporting/accountability relationship between the Steering Committee and the Task Force on Poverty. The Committee has hired consultants with funds received from the federal government to develop a comprehensive proposal for the establishment of Technology Learning Centres in CRHC's.

It is anticipated that the Steering Committee for the Technology Learning Centres may approach the Region at a future date for funding for the establishment of the centres. Regional support for the project would be contingent on ensuring an appropriate role for the Region in the project, and ensuring that the project is not a duplication of services and is a good fit with Corporate and Social Services Strategic Plans.

It is expected that the federal government will provide 50% of the cost of the project through Industry Canada, and that the project will seek matching funds of about \$100,000 from the local community, primarily the private sector.

Preliminary Costing and Additional Recommendations **Departmental Comments** A Community Economic Development network Recommendation 6 That the Region develop employment is being established through the Social Planning development and training projects for: Council (SPC). This group will be making a) provision, collection of donations, recommendations on very concrete ways to re-conditioning and repair of washers support CED initiatives in the community. The and dryers for social assistance Region is participating, with SPC taking the recipients and the working poor; and lead role. The role of the Region as well as that b) repair of vehicles for social of other partners will also be developed as part assistance recipients and the of this process. A request for funding may come forward to Committee and Council from working poor. this group at a future date. The EHSS budget was increased in 1999 to Recommendation 7 That Social Services review its policies for the respond to the changes in OW eligibility rules. Under new provincial rules, low-income provision of Essential Health and Social Supports (EHSS) to ensure an appropriate people not on social assistance were made needs test and to ensure that the working poor categorically ineligible for cost-shared essential have as complete access to the range of items health and social supports (formerly known as under EHSS as are available to social assistance special assistance and supplementary aid). recipients, and report back to Community Services Committee. Responding to concerns emerging from both the Report on Homelessness and the Task Force on Poverty, Social Services recently reviewed the needs test used for eligibility for EHSS and made it more equitable. The Department will provide training to staff in the new policy and procedures for granting EHSS. It is estimated that in response to these recent changes an increase of \$200,000 -\$500,000 will be required to the EHSS fund to meet anticipated uptake of the program. This is a very low estimate based on no advertising of this benefit. The amount required could be much higher if we estimate that 10% of the population may be low-income. Excluded from this estimate is the cost of administering the benefit (up to 3 FTE's). There would be no provincial cost sharing of this administration. This amount would have to

be added to the year 2000 budget.

Preliminary Costing and Additional Recommendations **Departmental Comments** School busing in the Ottawa Carleton District Recommendation 8 That the Region, Ottawa-Carleton District School Board (OCDSB) was discontinued in School Board and other stakeholders work as a September 1999 for secondary school students living within 1 kilometre of an OC Transpo bus community to find a solution to affordability of stop. Students from low income families now school transportation for children of lowincome families. receive bus passes at either 100% Provincial funding or at a reduced rate. This program was implemented beginning September 1999 and appropriate forms were made available through the schools. There is no anticipated cost to the Region at this time. Recommendation 11 Maintaining a complete range of community That the Region financially support and resource information at all community resource maintain a complete resource centre in all and health centres (or alternate public location community health and resource centres or other where CRC's are not established) would public institutions (e.g. libraries in rural areas) require $$20,000 \times 16 \text{ sites} = $320,000 \text{ per year.}$ to provide access to a wide range of information on services for people living in Alternatively, the Region could opt to pilot this project at a smaller number of sites. For poverty. example, $$20,000 \times 2 \text{ sites} = $40,000 \text{ per year}$ for 3 years. The pilot project could be evaluated to determine whether or not this is an effective way of informing people about social and community services. Recommendation 12 The Funders' Working Group, comprised of That the Region support the development of a the United Way, Region of Ottawa-Carleton user friendly, plain language 211 information Health and Social Services, Ministry of line for central access to a wide variety of social Community and Social Services and the school and health information. There should be access boards, is exploring the feasibility of a 211 by means of a "menu" to receive the information line for the Ottawa-Carleton area. information in a choice of different languages. Community Services Committee and Regional Council will be asked to consider this item

separately at a future time.

Recommendations

Recommendation 14

That information on how to choose a caregiver be more widely available to parents at an accessible price and/or no cost to parent, that a one page check list on key safety concerns in choosing safe child care similar to that produced by the Infant and Toddler Safety Association be available to all parents, in the official language of their choice, and that the checklist be widely distributed to hospitals, midwives, community resource and health centres, community houses and schools.

Recommendation 15

That Regional funding be provided to create and distribute the information to be provided to the agencies, and that agencies explore other creative funding sources to create and distribute the information, excluding outright sale of the products.

Recommendation 18

That the Region of Ottawa-Carleton provide resources (funds and staff) to prepare a project proposal to create a 24 hour child care services which would provide flexible child care arrangements for people working evenings, night or rotations, and that Social Services lead this project in collaboration with community partners.

Recommendation 19

That the project seek creative funding arrangement from different funding sources that it be based on a combination on new child care spaces and the re-allocation of existing spaces, and that the project proposal explore the use of space vacated by school closures.

Preliminary Costing and Additional Departmental Comments

Recommendations 14 and 15 could be accommodated within an estimated budget of \$15,000 -\$20,000. Child Care Directorate staff would lead this project with Communications staff acting as a resource.

Social Services currently purchases extended care from one agency at a cost of \$900,000 per year. This provides 65 extended care spaces and serves 133 children monthly.

This item would need to be included in the overall advocacy strategy for an expansion of funding.

Recommendation	Preliminary Costing and Additional Departmental Comments
Recommendation 26 That the Region act as a co-signer/guarantor for the Security Deposit requested by hydro and telephone companies for social assistance recipients and low income earners. Recommendation 27 That the Region collaborate with hydro and telephone companies to ensure that appropriate special sensitivity training be given to hydro and telephone companies' employees dealing with social assistance recipients and low income earners.	Some work has already begun to identify Ottawa Hydro's policy with respect to security deposits. Ottawa Hydro has indicated their willingness to work with Social Services to discuss how to continuously improve their service to people with low incomes (See Annex B). Social Services has recently developed and is now implementing a new payment arrears and pay direct policy for rent, hydro and heating for clients at risk of homelessness. More discussion is required to determine whether or not it is necessary for Social Services to institute a co-signer/guarantor policy for the Security Deposit.

Social Housing Office

The Social Housing Office provided preliminary costing and additional comments for the following recommendations.

Recommendations	Preliminary Costing and Additional
	Departmental Comments
Recommendation 9	Regional Council approved (September 22/99)
That vacant buildings owned by the Region and	a demonstration project for seven available
other municipalities be assessed for suitability	properties to be used for housing. Social
as housing in order to retain buildings and offer	Housing/Planning of the Region will take the
them for affordable housing to social housing	lead role in assessing the results of the
providers.	demonstration project and developing Council
	policy. Advocating with area municipalities
Recommendation 10	would require additional resources (estimated
That surplus Regional land and land owned by	as .7 FTE). Other costs would include deferred
other municipalities which is appropriate for	or foregone revenue on the sale of property.
residential use be retained and made available	
for affordable housing; and further that the land	Social Housing Providers would take the lead
be leased to social housing providers at	role in developing proposals to use publicly-
affordable rents. Further, that the Region	owned buildings for affordable housing. Area
should explore additional ways to maximize the	municipalities would take the lead role in
feasibility of investment in affordable housing.	assessing availability of their own buildings.

OC Transpo provided preliminary costing and additional comments for the following recommendations.

Recommendations	Preliminary Costing and Additional Departmental Comments
Recommendation 21	OC Transpo will continue to offer a 20%
That the Region approve in principle that all	discount to Social Services in its purchase of
members of the community have universal	bus passes/tickets for distribution to eligible
access to transit services whether their needs	social assistance recipients.
are fulfilled by regular or Para Transit services.	social assistance recipients.
Recommendation 22	
(a) That reduced fare bus passes be made	
available to low income individuals and	
families. For the purpose of this	
recommendation, low income includes	
social assistance recipient, employment	
insurance recipients, people receiving	
disability benefits (ODSP) and the working	
poor.	
(b) That a reduced fare assistance program for	
social assistance recipients should have no	
detrimental effect on regular social	
assistance benefits.	
Recommendation 23	Social Services could sell discounted tickets to
That a formal emergency ticket program should	CRHC's to expand their emergency ticket
be established at all centers serving the	program. The CRHC's emergency ticket
community to provide basic transportation to	program specifically targets low-income
individual and families who require it. Tickets	families and individuals.
may be provided for reasons such as hospital	
doctor visits, job interviews or other approved	CRHC's could be approached to see if they are
reasons on an emergency basis.	interested in becoming single ticket vendors
	(See Recommendation 24)
Recommendation 24	While vendors are strongly encouraged to sell
That customers be able to purchase single	single tickets, some vendors have indicated that
tickets from any OC Transpo vendor.	it is too onerous. Strips of tickets are
	manageable but loose ones aren't. A blanket
	policy might reduce overall accessibility
	because some key vendors have refused to sell
	single tickets. The commission paid to vendors
	is very small OC Transpo will continue to
	strongly encourage the sale of single tickets and
	is engaged in a search for a suitable vending
	machine for single tickets.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

No public consultation was required for this report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Overall these preliminary estimates range from \$625,000 to \$925,000 (does not include recommendations related to child care spaces or the cost of transportation-related items). In addition, a total of 4.2 FTE's would need to be included in the year 2000 budget (estimated at \$252,000, including benefits).

Approved by Dick Stewart

CP/

ANNEX A

Task Force on Poverty Costing Research

Recommendation #1

That the Region in partnership with community lobby the Province to increase social assistance rates for basic needs and shelter to reflect the actual costs of a nutritious diet and adequate housing and utilities.

Research Summary

Multiple scenarios of various family size and composition were used to determine whether social assistance rates for basic needs and shelter reflect the actual costs of nutritious diet and adequate housing and utilities. The following is a synopsis of the research:

- Family of Four (35 yr. old male, 34 yr. old female, male aged 13 & female aged 8):
- ⇒ The total actual costs for this family (nutritious food basket, accommodation costs: rent, hydro, phone) was calculated at \$1,457.57. The Social Assistance rates given to a family of this size is \$1,214.00. Therefore, the difference between actual food and shelter for a Family of 4 versus OW rates provided is \$243.57.
- Family of 2 (24 yr. old male, 19 yr. old pregnant female (Trimester 2)
- ⇒ Total actual costs for this family is \$1,018.19. Social Assistance rates give to a family of this size \$938.00. This family is short \$80.19 between actual food and shelter versus OW rates provided.
- Single Male (50 yr. old)
- ⇒ Actual costs for a single male in this age group is \$617.79. Social Assistance will give him a total amount of \$520.00. A difference of \$97.79 is calculated between actual food and shelter and rates given by Social Assistance.

References:

The calculations used in the scenarios were based on following sources:

1. The Ontario Nutritious Food Basket priced for Ottawa-Carleton according to the guidelines prepared by the Ontario Ministry of Health (ONFB). The ONFB does not meet the needs of people who need a special medical diet as their nutritional needs are greater. A minimum Nutritional Basket includes a standardized variety of commonly used, widely available foods from the 4 food groups of Canada's Food Guide to Healthy Eating.

- 2. Personal Hygiene products and clothing are not included in the calculations.
- 3. The accommodation costs were based on average rental unit amounts for Ottawa Census Metropolitan Area (CMA). CMA is divided into zones that include: Downtown, Sandy Hill, Southwest, East end, outer West end, Ottawa City, Vanier, Gloucester, Cumberland, Rockland, Nepean, Kanata, Goulbourn, Rideau (Rental Market, CMHC, 1998).
- 4. The accommodation average amount has been discounted by 15% to demonstrate the lowest rental unit amount possible.
- 5. Utility rates used were approximate amounts developed in discussion with Housing Help.
- 6. Basic Monthly phone amount was used (Bell Canada).

Conclusion:

The research indicates that families and single persons are required to reduce the amount of money for basic needs in order to obtain affordable and accessible housing.

The nutritious food basket cost used in all scenarios were based on minimal amounts. For instance, the minimum amount used in the family of four was calculated at \$503.00 whereby a typical family spends on average \$800 monthly.

Average rental costs were discounted by 15% in order to obtain the lowest rent possible. In doing so, individuals are required to live in areas of the city where rent is lowest. It is evident that Social Assistance recipients will not all reside in the same area therefore accessibility to accommodation in lower rental areas is not possible. As a result, recipients will secure more expensive housing by allocating those funds that would normally be used for basic needs to shelter costs.

ANNEX B

Task Force on Poverty Costing Research

Recommendation #26

That the Region act as a co-signer / guarantor for the Security Deposit requested by hydro and telephone companies for social assistance recipients and low income earners.

Utility Research Summary

Contact: Dan Ralph, Ottawa Hydro

Due to an increase in bad debt, many Canadian utility companies have adopted a Security Deposit approach to further prevent financial loss to their organization. Some of these utility companies include Edmonton Power, Calgary Electric, Manitoba Hydro, Winnipeg Hydro, Saskatchewan Power, New Brunswick Power, Nova Scotia Power and Ontario Hydro. Ottawa Hydro was also required to implement a Security Deposit policy as their organization experienced a significant financial loss resulting from bad debt. Based on the 1997 fiscal year, Ottawa Hydro was required to absorb a loss of \$500,000.00.

Who is required to pay a Security Deposit?

- Any individual that rents a property and has had a history of bad debt with Ottawa Hydro or another electric utility or has been disconnected due to non-payment. (It should be noted that customers who relocate within the Ottawa Hydro service territory and have yet not had to pay a Security Deposit will be requested to pay a deposit upon a move. An additional note, all customers upon receiving a request for a Security Deposit are eligible to have the Security Deposit waived based on their credit history).
- With the introduction of Bill 35 which will be in effect in October 2000, Ottawa Hydro anticipates introducing the requirement of security deposits by homeowners, as well.

What is the cost of a Security Deposit?

• The range of utility costs are from \$75.00 to \$420.00. The average security deposit for an apartment is \$150.00 and \$250.00 for an apartment with electric heating. A private home with electric heating and air conditioning can be as high as \$420.00. These rates are based on average of 3 months consumption.

What are customers reaction to this policy?

 As the policy was first administered, an education component was required for long-time customers. The level of frustration was high but has dissipated as more individuals are aware of Security Deposit practice.

How to negotiate or waive a Security Deposit if funds are not available?

- The security deposit is usually asked for up front. Negotiation follows a tiered response whereby customers are offered a series of options to waive the deposit depending on their circumstances:
- ⇒ the security deposit can be paid for in 3 monthly installments;
- ⇒ a relative who is also a customer of Ottawa Hydro with good credit can co-sign;
- ⇒ consideration to waive the deposit may occur if credit history from the credit bureau indicates a credit rating of "type 2 or more";
- ⇒ On a case by case basis, a supervisor may waive a Security Deposit if the customer provides pre-authorized cheques or Direct Deposit for monthly payments.
- ⇒ Some Social Services staff have provided direct payment in lieu of the deposit and then deducted the amount from the clients' cheque.

Conclusion:

Ottawa Hydro reviews all cases and circumstances separately. Usually 90% of requests to waive security deposits are honoured. Ottawa Hydro believes in good customer service and is very interested in meeting with Regional Social Services to devise a plan that will better serve clients.