REGION OF OTTAWA-CARLETON RÉGION D'OTTAWA-CARLETON

REPORT RAPPORT

Our File/N/Réf. Your File/V/Réf.

DATE 10 January 2000

TO/DEST. Co-ordinator, Community Services Committee

FROM/EXP. Special Advisor on Social Housing

Social Services Commissioner

SUBJECT/OBJET REDIRECTION OF EMERGENCY HOSTEL FUNDING

DEPARTMENTAL RECOMMENDATION

That the Community Services Committee recommend Council receive this report for information.

BACKGROUND

The purpose of this report is to provide a summary of the business case for the redirection of Emergency Hostel funding, which was one component of the Community Action Plan on Homelessness approved by Regional Council in July, 1999 (Recommendation 14: "that the Region re-allocate up to 15% of emergency hostel funding for innovative approaches to service delivery").

As one part of the Province's strategy to deal with homelessness, the Ministry of Community and Social Services approved an initiative to allow up to 15% of emergency hostel funding to be redirected to innovative programs with the goal of reducing emergency hostel use. In order to participate in this initiative, the Region was required to prepare a business case which has been submitted to the Ministry, and for which it is anticipated that approval will be given in January, 2000.

The objective of this initiative is to reduce reliance on emergency shelter beds by providing resources which support individuals in finding and retaining permanent housing. Unfortunately, the rate of increase in demand for emergency shelter beds which occurred in 1999 is expected to continue into 2000. As demand grows well beyond the capacity of the existing system, the ROC faces having to open new hostel beds, unless alternative actions are taken. The intent of the programs and services to be funded

through the business case is to reduce emergency hostel utilisation to a level which can be accommodated within the existing system in 2000.

The business case was prepared to support the re-direction of \$989,688 in Emergency Hostel Funding in the year 2000. The ROC share of this funding will be \$197,937 (included in the base budget approved for Social Services.

- Between 1998 and 1999 utilisation in the hostels rose by 11%. If this trend continues in 2000, there will be a projected increase in emergency hostel costs of \$1,142,002 from the 1999 budget year.
- The \$1,142,002 projected increase in spending will be cost shared on an 80/20% basis. The ROC share of this projected increase would be \$228,400.
- If increased utilisation trends continue, existing capacity in the Emergency Hostel system will be insufficient. The cost of opening new hostels would be far in excess of the projected \$1,142,002 in additional shelter costs. The projects proposed in the business case are designed to reduce shelter demand to a level which can be managed within existing emergency hostel capacity. The estimated additional demand on emergency hostels in 2000 is expected to be 10,197 bed days. Projects recommended through the redirection of hostel funding will reduce bed days by 11,118.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this initiative is to move the system for the homeless from short-term emergency services to more effective responses of prevention and early identification which will help people find and keep a stable living situation. The ROC business case includes a range of projects which will target homeless families, singles and youth. In addition, the business case includes projects which will prevent eviction, divert people from emergency hostels, shorten the length of stay in emergency hostels and assist people in retaining their housing. Redirection of emergency hostel funding is a key strategy for the ROC as service system manager in creating an efficient and effective service system for the homeless and reducing homelessness in our community.

Continued funding is contingent on demonstrated success after the first year. There must be a demonstrated dollar for dollar cost saving. The projects are eligible for ongoing funding if the municipality can support the project with a business case each year. A detailed evaluation plan to demonstrate the impact of the proposed projects on Emergency Hostel costs is being developed.

It is the intent of the ROC to actively manage the implementation and evaluation of projects proposed through the Hostel Redirection Funding.

Agencies which receive funding will be required to:

1) participate in planning, information sharing and monitoring activities to be organised and facilitated by ROC staff;

2) submit data required for evaluation purposes in a timely fashion. This will support co-ordination, information-sharing, the linking of programs and services and problem-solving among agencies.

Organisations who provide housing support services but are not funded through this initiative will also be invited to participate to support ongoing community involvement and consultation.

A summary of the recommended projects is appended. The business case includes an analysis of each project which identifies the target outcomes for services provided and a projected cost-benefit analysis. This will be supported by contracts between each agency who will deliver the project and the ROC.

SUMMARY OF EXPECTED OUTCOMES

Prevention of Eviction and Retention of	1. eviction information for 4000 households
Permanent Housing	2. eviction prevented for 178 families
	3. eviction prevented for 482 individuals
Moving People from Emergency Hostels to	1. housing search information for 100 families
Permanent Accommodations	2. reduction of 5488 shelter nights for
	families
	3. reduction of 5630 bed days for singles
	4. 196 families placed in permanent
	accommodations
	5. 228 individuals placed in permanent
	accommodations

In addition, the existing emergency hostel system will be capable of serving a greater number of clients without additional beds due to reduction in length of stay and diversion initiatives resulting from this project.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

In November, 1999 a request for proposals to the Region for Homelessness Initiatives funding yielded proposals for services which, in the opinion of staff, will reduce emergency hostel costs and meet the criteria for eligibility for the Hostel Redirection Funding program.

From the proposals received, staff identified those which:

- 1) target priority populations who are frequent users of emergency hostels
- 2) propose different models of service provision which can be evaluated to determine the cost benefit of different approaches
- 3) focus on:

- a) prevention of homelessness
- b) early intervention (reducing length of stay)
- c) housing support services (retention of housing)

These selected proposals were presented to the Homelessness Initiatives Fund Selection Committee for their approval and then compiled into the business case for 15% Hostel Redirection Funding. The Selection Committee, which was approved by Council, included Councillors Munter and Van den Ham, as well as representatives from the federal and provincial governments, the private sector, the voluntary sector, the homeless community and regional staff.

After reviewing the projects submitted to the Provincial Homelessness Initiatives Fund, some gaps were identified.

Projects have been proposed to fill these gaps based on operational requirements and information from community consultations. Over the past 8 weeks, staff have been engaged in a series of consultations about the housing needs of homeless people. The information gained through these consultations has guided priorities in terms of projects to be developed to fill gaps. These projects are listed for development in the appendices.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Established Base for Hostel Redirection Funding

The base funding was determined by the actual expenditures for 1998 which were \$6,597,922

\$5,278,338 (provincial share)

\$1,319,584 (municipal share)

According to Ministry of Community and Social Services criteria, a total of \$989,688 is available for projects which will decrease hostel utilisation and its associated costs

\$791,750 (provincial share)

\$197,937(municipal share)

Projection of Emergency Hostel Costs

As the crisis of homelessness has grown in Canada, municipalities have experienced tremendous growth in hostel utilisation and the costs associated with homelessness. A projection of an 11% expected increase in hostel utilisation in Ottawa for 2000 was calculated in accordance with the criteria set by the Ministry of Community and Social Services. Hostel cost projection is based on the growth in utilisation between 1998 (base year for hostel redirection funding) and 1999. The total cost of this projected 11% increase would be \$1,142,002 in year 2000. The projects proposed for hostel redirection represent a strategic effort on the part of ROC to slow the rate of growth in hostel utilisation preventing opening of new hostel beds or emergency hostels. It is estimated that each dollar spent on projects included in the

business case for hostel redirection will yield two dollars in savings to the cost of emergency hostel accommodation and social services benefits.

<u>Table One</u> Comparison of Budget and Actual Spending on Emergency Hostels

1999	2000
Budget	Budget
\$4,430,000	\$4,725,446
ROC Share \$886,000	ROC Share \$945,089
	(approved budget assumes a 9.4% increase in utilisation over 1999)
Actual Spending	Projected Actual Spending
\$4,748,965	\$5,572002**
ROC Share \$949,793	ROC share \$1,114,400
	**assumes no hostel redirection programs
	\$4,743,982***
	ROC Share \$948,896
• actual spending was 9.4% over budget in 1999	***assumes hostel redirection projects are fully implemented and meet target goals
budget includes motel and hotel costs	 budget includes motel and hotel costs assumes an 11 % increase will actually occur based on hostel utilisation projection in 2000

Table One illustrates the projected impact of cost savings realised through the projects included in the business case on the ROC emergency hostel budget.

CONCLUSION

As the designated service system manager, the ROC has responsibility for developing sustainable solutions to emergency shelter accommodation for the homeless. This strategy will provide the homeless with housing and needed supports at a lower cost to the taxpayer. The proposed programs which are included in the business case represent an exciting opportunity to invest in long-term solutions to alleviate the crisis in homelessness in our community.

In partnership with a number of organisations who have the expertise and ability to provide for the housing needs of the homeless and those at risk of homelessness, the ROC will reduce the growing Emergency Hostel utilisation to a level which can be managed within existing resources. As target outcomes are achieved, the RMOC will have the opportunity to better manage Emergency Hostel use and eventually to decrease reliance on Emergency Hostels.

Approved by Joyce Potter

Approved by Dick Stewart

Appendix

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED PROJECTS

SERVICE PROVIDER	RATIONALE FOR	EXPECTED	TARGET
	SELECTING THIS	OUTCOME	POPULATION
SUMMARY OF THE	PROJECT	AND STRATEGY	
PROJECT			
Nepean Community	- many of the families in	- decreased length of	families fleeing abuse
Resource Center (1-1)	the family shelter are	stay in shelter for	living in shelters
proposal for ½ time housing	fleeing abuse; this	families fleeing abuse	
worker/ community	proposal provides for		
developer to work with	faster access to housing		
families fleeing abuse	and supports to assist		
	families in stabilising their		
In the second year will	living situation and		
house families in new	retaining housing		
Nepean Housing Corp units.			
Proposal includes purchase		-move to permanent	Budget
of half-time abuse		accommodations	\$58,415
counsellor from Kanata		-early intervention	
CRC.		-housing support	
Union Mission (1-2)	- many men who stay for	- decreased length of	-homeless men
Proposal for 1 full time	extended periods in the	stay	
housing outreach worker to	shelter lack skills to	-men will retain housing	
move homeless men from	search and negotiate	for more than 3 months	
shelter to housing	housing	- partnership with	
	- staff want to test	private sector landlords	
	success of model basing	to help clients access	
	housing worker in a	vacancies more quickly	
	shelter	-shelter diversion	
		-early intervention	
		-move to permanent	
		accommodations	Budget
			\$40,000

	1	T	
SERVICE PROVIDER/	RATIONALE FOR	EXPECTED	TARGET
SUMMARY OF THE	SELECTING THIS	OUTCOME	POPULATION
PROJECT	PROJECT		
Housing Help (1-3)	-rooming houses in	- decreased utilisation of	-homeless men
- 3 part-time rooming house	downtown core have	hostel beds	
support workers in	high turnover of men	-decreased eviction	
partnership with the	moving in and out of		
downtown CHCs	hostels		
	-preventing eviction in		Budget
	rooming houses will	eviction prevention	\$67,640
	decrease shelter use	•	
Carlington Health and	-crisis, housing and	-decreased evictions	-families at risk of
Community Services (1-4)	support services for	-increased housing	eviction
-proposal for 1housing	families living in private	stability	
support worker for high	market housing	-decreased utilisation of	
need low income families in	-strategy based on	hostel beds	
private market housing	research from	-support to private	
	Philadelphia indicating	market landlords to	
	that programs targeting	prevent eviction	
	neighbourhood with high	eviction prevention	Budget
	eviction rates are	housing support	\$60,000
	effective	3 11	
Pinecrest Queensway	-will focus on high needs,	-increased housing	-families leaving
CHC (1-5)	complex family situations	retention	emergency hostel
- 1 housing support worker	with special services for	-decreased length of	
for families leaving Carling	addictions, mental illness	stay at Carling Family	
Family Shelter and high risk	and newcomers	shelter	
families in the community		-move to permanent	Budget
·		accommodations	\$60,000
		housing support	,
Elizabeth Fry Society (1-	-identified by community	-decreased hostel	-women
6)	as a population which	utilisation	
-proposal for 1 housing	typically loses its housing		
support worker for at-risk	multiple times per year	-move to permanent	
women leaving correctional		accommodations	Budget
facilities		housing support	\$39,520

SERVICE PROVIDER/	RATIONALE FOR	EXPECTED	TARGET
SUMMARY OF THE	SELECTING THIS	OUTCOME	POPULATION
PROJECT	PROJECT		
Action Logement/5 Community Resource	-builds on expertise and resources in the community to provide a	-decreased hostel utilisation	-francophone families and singles at risk of losing housing
Centres (1-7) -proposal to create an emergency housing intervention team to prevent eviction and assist in retaining stable housing -helping people search for housing and provide a mediation service between tenants and landlords	creative response to the needs of the francophone community -will provide support to landlords in responding to difficult tenant situations -builds on many	-decreased evictions	
-co-ordinating work of the CRCs with Action Logement (supported by a coordinator position)	partnerships and linkages in the community in a co- ordinated fashion	-move to permanent accommodations -eviction prevention	Budget \$36,070
CERA (1-8) -proposal to provide education to all persons receiving eviction notices	-low vacancy rate in the rental market makes loss of housing difficult and costly to address unless evictions can be	-decreased evictions -decreased hostel utilisation	-all persons receiving eviction notices
about the Housing Tribunal process and their rights and obligations	prevented	-eviction prevention	Budget \$78,590
Catholic Immigration Services (1-9) -proposal for 2.0 F.T.E. workers to assist newcomers in the shelters with housing search and	-will complement other proposals for housing supports for families in that it will focus on newcomers and the housing search and short	-decreased length of stay in hostels -early intervention	newcomer families Budget
supports	term supports	-move to permanent accommodations	\$99,117
Options Bytown/OCHA (1-10) -provide 4 housing support workers in Ottawa-Carleton Housing developments	-support worker is attached to housing units which will be made available to single homeless persons -staff want to test the model	-decreased length of stay in shelters and retention of stable housing -20 new housing units for homeless singles -housing support -eviction prevention	-homeless singles Budget \$200,000

	-move to permanent	
	accommodations	

SERVICE PROVIDER	RATIONALE FOR	EXPECTED	TARGET
SERVICE PROVIDER	SELECTING THIS	OUTCOME	POPULATION
CLD O A DV OF THE		OUTCOME	POPULATION
SUMMARY OF THE	PROJECT		
PROJECT			
Cornerstone (1-11)	-meets short term	-decreased length of	-homeless women
-requested 1 housing	objective of moving	stay in shelter	
support worker to assist	people more quickly out	-women will retain	
homeless women in moving	of shelter as well as long	housing more than 3	
from the shelter	term objective of building	months	
-requested 1 support	capacity in the	-decreased shelter	
worker for third stage	community to support	utilisation costs	
housing project	stable housing		
	-staff want to evaluate		
	the model to compare to		
	housing workers not	-early intervention	Budget
	attached to a hostel	-housing support	\$41,500
		-move to permanent	\$51,000
		accommodations	
Shelter Diversion Worker	-diversion of families	-diversion of 15 families	-homeless families
(1-12)	seeking shelter is the	from family shelter/year	seeking shelter at the
Regional Municipality of	most cost effective		family shelters
Ottawa-Carleton	approach to cost savings	-reduced length of stay	luming smonors
	-referrals for housing	to six weeks for families	
This 1 worker will assess all	support services will be	eligible for housing	
new requests for admission	streamlined and families	support services	
to the family shelter and	will be "fast tracked" for	Support Services	
determine whether diversion	services		
is possible. In addition, this	501 11005		
worker will direct clients to			
housing support services			Budget
most appropriate to their			\$62,000
housing needs.		-shelter diversion	ψ02,000
Housing necus.		-Sucitei diversion	

SERVICE PROVIDER SUMMARY OF THE PROJECT	RATIONALE FOR SELECTING THIS PROJECT	EXPECTED OUTCOME	TARGET POPULATION
Youth Employment Placement Worker (1-13) Rideau Street Youth	-employed youth do not require social assistance and are able to afford market housing	-reduced number of street youth on the streets and sleeping in "squats"	decrease number of youth on the streets
Enterprises (RSYE)		•	
This 1 worker will place youth who have barriers to	RSYE have a proven track record in finding and employing homeless	-decreased reliance on social assistance	
housing and employment in jobs and support them in retaining employment and	youth	-development of long term employment skills-move to permanent	
retaining housing		accommodations	Budget \$38,000
Youth Services Bureau/ Housing Help (1-14) Match and Share	-the lack of rental housing in Ottawa creates a special problem	-youth looking for housing will find safe, affordable appropriate	-homeless street youth
Program for Youth	for youth who have	housing	
A structured program to assist street youth in advertising, interviewing and selecting a roommate for shared accommodation. Ongoing support to the housed client will be provided to assist the client in learning skills to share accommodations. Resources of both agencies will be available to clients to enhance program effectiveness.	trouble getting landlords to rent to them. Youth are unable to afford safe accommodation so often wish to share housing. The lack of skills in selecting a roommate and dealing with normal conflicts which occur in communal living lead to chronic homelessness. This program will be supported by housing search, life skills programs and counselling for youthproviding stable housing for youth is a precursor to success in school and employment programs	-youth involved in match and share will maintain housing for more than three months -decreased utilisation of youth shelter beds -decreased rate of readmission of youth to shelter beds housing support -move to permanent accommodations	Budget \$38,000
SERVICE PROVIDER	RATIONALE FOR	EXPECTED	TARGET

SUMMARY OF THE PROJECT	SELECTING THIS PROJECT	OUTCOME	POPULATION
Projects to be Developed			
Trusteeship Program (1-15)	-clients using programs like the rent bank or	-decreased evictions -retention of stable	-people at risk of homelessness
agency to be determined	eviction prevention services may not be skilled in managing finances but not eligible for a public trustee -this support service will be required to make the other programs more effective -a community consultation process is	housing -decrease unit cost from arrears, -decreased hostel use	
	planned to determine the optimal service provider agency	-eviction prevention	
Housing Support Worker	-illness is a frequent	-decreased shelter use	-clients who are ill and
for Inner City Health	reason for homelessness		who are homeless or at
Project	and admission to a		risk of being homeless
(1-16)	shelter		
agency to be determined	-this worker will assist clients who are ill in obtaining housing and		
	receiving needed health care services as part of the Inner City Health project	move to permanent accommodations early intervention	
Housing Support Services for Substance Abusers (1-17)	-people who are actively abusing substances, those who are addicts in the correctional system and	-decreased shelter use	-substance abusers
agency to be determined	those leaving an addictions treatment program are recognised by the community as being among the highest risk groups to lose housing -frequently these client		

lo	ose and re-gain housing		
6-	5-10 times per year		
-c	consultations indicate		
th	hat there is a need to		
pı	provide housing supports	housing support	
as	s none currently exist		