

ANNEX F

Angus-Reid Surveys in Ottawa-Carleton, the Greater Toronto Area and Vancouver and the Lower Mainland

<i>Ottawa-Carleton</i>	<i>Greater Toronto Area</i>	<i>Vancouver & Lower Mainland</i>
<i>September, 1996</i>	<i>April, 1996</i>	<i>May, 1995</i>
1. Support for a bylaw making all public places 100% smoke-free		
62% Support (47% Strongly & 15% Somewhat)	65% Support (50% Strongly & 15% Somewhat)	66% Support (42% Strongly & 24% Moderate)
More than twice as many strongly support the bylaw compared to those who strongly oppose the bylaw.	More than twice as many strongly support the bylaw compared to those who strongly oppose the bylaw.	More than twice as many strongly support the bylaw compared to those who strongly oppose the bylaw
2. Reasons given for majority support of the bylaw. (% of Supporters)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘Second hand smoke is bad for you’ (17%), • ‘Smoking is unhealthy’(15%), • ‘Hate smoke/irritates me’(14%), • ‘Allergic to smoke/have asthma’(14%), • ‘Like clean air’(9%). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘I hate smoke/ smoke irritates me’ (24%), • ‘Smoking is bad for you’ (24%), • ‘Second hand smoke is detrimental to your health’ (22%), • ‘I’m a non-smoker’(14%). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘Second hand smoke is unhealthy/smoke allergies/asthma/breathing problems’ (55%), • I find it unpleasant, disgusting to breath other people’s smoke’ (14%), • ‘Have a right to clean air/shouldn’t have to breath other people’s smoke’ (10%), • ‘Smells bad/makes clothes smell’(8%).
3. Reasons given for minority opposition to the bylaw. (% of Opposition)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘People should have the choice’(28%), • ‘Smokers have rights too’ (24%), • ‘I am a smoker’(19%), • ‘Should retain smoking/non-smoking areas’ (16%), • ‘Anti-smoking laws have gone too far’ (12%), • ‘Would hurt business’(11%). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘Smokers have rights too’ (30%), • ‘I am a smoker’ (25%), • ‘Should remain as designated areas for smoking and non-smoking’ (22%), • ‘People should have the choice/freedom’ (20%). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘Freedom of choice for smokers/smokers rights’ (47%), • ‘Should be smoking/non-smoking sections everywhere’ (14%), • ‘I smoke’ (13%), • ‘Restaurants/bars would lose business’(9%), • ‘Dislike government restrictions’(8%).
4. Effects of smoking prohibitions on patronage.		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For all respondents a 100% smoke-free bylaw would not have any effect on patronage. • Among ‘regular customers’ respondents who went out once or twice a week , there would be a net positive change in patronage of food service establishments and a decline in patronage of alcohol or gaming based establishment. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For all respondents a 100% smoke-free bylaw would not have any effect on patronage. • Among ‘regular customers’ respondents who went out once or twice a week , there would be a net positive change in patronage of food service establishments and a decline in patronage of alcohol or gaming based establishment. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For all respondents a 100% smoke-free bylaw would not have any effect on patronage. • Among ‘regular customers’ respondents who went out once or twice a week , there would be a net positive change in patronage of food service establishments and a decline in patronage of alcohol or gaming based establishment.